

ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS AND PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT IN SPORTS PSYCHOLOGY

Sheeja E Aliyas

Research Scholar, Department of Psychology, School of Social Sciences, Garden City University, Bangalore

**Corresponding Author sheejadpsshj@gmail.com*

Deepthi Saligram

Assistant Professor, Department of Psychology, School of Social Sciences, Garden City University, Bangalore

Chethana V Chalapathy

Assistant Professor, Department of Life Sciences, School of Sciences, Garden City University, Bangalore.

Abstract

The review explores the essential intersection of ethical aspects with professional development within Sports Psychology. Therapists who provide psychological services to sports practitioners experience complex ethical dilemmas regarding confidentiality, challenges related to dual relationships, ensuring informed consent and cultural sensitivity. Professional sports ethics demand standardization of ethical guidelines along with training initiatives that focus on conditions unique to different sports environments. The current review attempts to bring together previous literature to in order to identify the ethical dilemmas and to promote proficiency in ethical awareness among the therapists. Further, the review seeks to establish an ongoing professional development structure focusing on the best practices for comprehensive professional development.

Keywords: sports psychology, ethical aspects, best practices, comprehensive development

Ethical challenges are inherent in all areas of professional psychology, regardless of the population or setting, and require careful consideration, consultation, and sometimes adjustment of services to meet ethical, legal, and professional standards. While the types of ethical issues may differ depending on the population and environment, sport psychologists face not only the usual ethical dilemmas found in clinical and counselling psychology but also additional challenges unique to working with athletes. In both traditional and sport psychology settings, practitioners often turn to the **APA's Ethical Principles of Psychologists and Code of Conduct (2002)** to guide their decisions. These guidelines help psychologists find ethical solutions that align with their professional responsibilities and the needs of the individuals they serve.

The **Ethics Code** is designed to meet the needs of clients in standard professional settings, but this can be problematic for psychologists working in nontraditional settings. In these environments, practitioners often find that the guidelines don't fully align with the unique needs of their clients, the specific demands of the setting, or the distinct nature of their work. (Haas et al., 1986; Pope et al., 1987; Faulkner & Faulkner, 1997; Schank & Skovholt, 1997), military settings (Hines et al., 1998; Werth et al., (2008). For sport psychologists, this issue is similarly evident. As they work with individual athletes, teams, and larger sports

organizations, they face nontraditional practice requirements.

Sport psychologists face specific practice requirements that include building a strong working relationship with clients who may downplay the need for psychological services. They also navigate challenges such as multilevel organizational demands, managing multiple relationships, maintaining confidentiality, determining the appropriate time and location for services, understanding the limits of their competence, ensuring proper informed consent, and engaging in indirectly therapeutic organizational activities. Several authors have addressed these ethical challenges in the sport psychology literature, but these concerns have not been fully evaluated in relation to the **APA's Ethics Code (2002)**. (Andersen, Van Raalte, & Brewer, 2001; Biddle, Bull, & Seheult, 1992; Gardner, 2001; Granito & Wenz, 1995; Linder, Pillow, & Reno, 1989; Lodato & Lodato, 1992; Meyers, 1995; Petrie & Diehl, 1995; Taylor, 1994; Whelan, Meyers, & Elkins, 2002; Zeigler, 1987)

While it is in the best interest of clients for sport psychologists to adhere to the **APA's ethical guidelines**, they can also refer to the ethical principles and standards set by the **Association for the Advancement of Applied Sport Psychology (AAASP)**, which offers a sport-specific adaptation of the APA's Ethics Code. However, the AAASP standards are still an insufficient adaptation. (Moore, 2003; Aoyagi & Portenga, 2010)

Despite the existence of ethical standards to guide practice, there is limited guidance in the professional literature to help sport psychology practitioners develop and maintain high-quality work standards in this unique field. Key areas of concern include maintaining confidentiality, dual relationships and boundaries, obtaining informed consent, cultural sensitivity and competence.

Privacy and Confidentiality

The relationship between a sports psychologist and their client relies on a strong foundation for effective therapeutic progress, built upon the principles of privacy and confidentiality. The trust that clients place in their psychologists depends on the assurance that personal disclosures will be kept private. This secure environment fosters honest communication, allowing clients to explore their inner thoughts, emotions, and behaviours without fear of exposure. Such a setting encourages the development of self-awareness and coping strategies that enhance psychological well-being. By maintaining high ethical standards, sports psychologists promote lasting personal growth and mental resilience in their clients (Watson, Harris, & Baillie, 2020)

Although confidentiality is a key ethical requirement in psychology, it presents unique challenges in the sports world due to the public visibility of athletes. Sports psychologists often face pressure from coaches, teammates, and sponsors to share sensitive information. To address these concerns, it is crucial that psychologists establish clear confidentiality agreements with clients and relevant stakeholders from the outset. These agreements should outline the boundaries of privileged communication to avoid misunderstandings and ensure all parties are informed. Clarifying these limits helps maintain trust and manage the delicate balance between respecting the athlete's privacy and navigating the realities of their professional environment. (American Psychological Association [APA], 2002; Gardner, 2001; Pope & Vasquez, 2001).

Dual Relationships and Boundaries with Clients

Maintaining clear professional boundaries and avoiding dual relationships is crucial in sports psychology to preserve the integrity of the therapeutic alliance. Therapists must prioritize client well-being by creating a structured, professional space that fosters trust and promotes healing. Clear boundaries help prevent emotional overinvolvement, reduce the risk of burnout, and support a productive therapeutic environment. Ongoing communication about these boundaries is

essential for sustaining a healthy and effective relationship that encourages client growth.

Sport psychologists often face ethical challenges related to dual relationships, particularly when they provide multiple services to the same athlete, such as acting as both counselor and coach, or offering support to family members, which can compromise objectivity and client protection. These overlapping roles may lead to conflicts of interest, especially in environments where athletes interact with various professionals (Hartzler, 2019). In corporate sports settings, ethical dilemmas also arise when psychologists are involved in team decisions like player selection or dismissal, particularly if they have prior therapeutic relationships with the athletes, risking confidentiality breaches and biased judgment (Canter et al., 1994). To prevent such issues, psychologists must clearly define their roles before providing services and follow the APA Ethics Code (APA, 2002), ensuring all stakeholders understand their scope of practice.

Informed Consent

Informed consent is essential in sports psychology as it ensures clients understand the nature, purpose, risks, and benefits of the services provided. It strengthens the psychologist-athlete relationship, sets clear boundaries, and supports ethical practice. The professional along with the organization will face elevated legal risk and damaged therapeutic relationships when they fail to establish valid informed consent for their interventions per ethical guidelines (APA, 2002). A formal consent document outlines responsibilities and service details, while also protecting both parties. The process encourages shared decision-making, enhances communication, and empowers clients to take an active role in their mental health journey. Consent procedures should be adapted to the client's age, cognitive ability, and educational background for full understanding. (Canter et al., 1994; Fisher & Oransky, 2008).

Despite its importance, informed consent in Sports Psychology presents several ethical challenges, particularly in environments where athletes may feel compelled to conform to team or organizational expectations. These pressures can compromise an athlete's ability to make autonomous decisions, especially among minors or those with mental health concerns. In such cases, psychologists must still adhere to informed consent practices, even when working with clients who are not fully capable of providing consent themselves. The limited discussion of informed consent in sport psychology literature suggests that some professionals may neglect this vital step before initiating services. Regardless of the setting,

psychologists are ethically and legally required to implement informed consent procedures not only in therapeutic contexts but also in research involving athletes. Ensuring that clients are informed and have control over their psychological care is fundamental to ethical practice and supports long-term positive outcomes. (Evans, 2018; Feddersen, 2023).

Cultural Sensitivity and Competency

Cultural competence is essential for sports psychologists, as understanding athletes' diverse cultural backgrounds enables them to deliver more effective and appropriate psychological services. Cultural differences can influence how athletes experience stress, communicate, and address mental health concerns. Developing cultural awareness allows practitioners to tailor their interventions to individual needs (Sue & Sue, 2016). Gaining insight into athletes' cultural values and norms enhances the psychologist's ability to build trust and provide meaningful support.

Cultural sport psychology incorporates various theoretical and methodological approaches to address the unique needs of athletes from diverse backgrounds. It emphasizes the importance of understanding both the athlete's environment and the practitioner's own cultural lens (Ryba, 2009; Ryba & Schinke, 2009; Ryba, Schinke, & Tenenbaum, 2009; Schinke et al., 2012). This perspective further, recognizes the multifaceted nature of individual identity, shaped by intersecting factors such as gender, sexuality, socioeconomic status, race, ethnicity, nationality and location (Gill & Kamphoff, 2010; McGannon & Johnson, 2009; Schinke et al., 2009).

This apart, professional literature outlines many other ethical dilemmas faced by athletic psychologists like practicing within one's areas of competence, terminating the practitioner-client relationship, balancing multiple roles, relationships and organizational demands. (Moore, (2003). Adhering to ethical guidelines greatly enhances a sports psychologist's professional development by building trust, supporting strong therapeutic relationships and improving care quality. Handling ethical challenges with integrity and cultural sensitivity boosts the therapist's credibility, strengthens client outcomes, and positions them for long-term career success and recognition in the field.

Ongoing professional development is essential for sports psychologists to navigate ethical complexities effectively and provide high-quality care. This can be achieved through various approaches that include: (i) Engaging in reflective practice to enhance self-awareness, ethical

sensitivity and evaluate their personal biases (Farrell, 2012). (ii) Continued education and training to equip therapists with updated knowledge tailored to diverse sports settings, improving their ability to manage ethical challenges (Quartiroli & Wagstaff, 2024). (iii) Participating in supervision and peer consultation helps therapists refine ethical judgment and maintain accountability through shared expertise. (Poczwardowski et al, 2023); (McCarthy, Burns, McCann, & Gupta, 2024).

Ethical Challenges in the Indian Context

While ethical standards are largely universal, ethical practice and professional development in sports psychology within India face unique challenges due to the country's diverse cultural landscape, societal stigma around mental health and limited awareness of psychological support in sports. The practical implementation of ethical standards in India is complicated by traditional beliefs and the misconception that seeking mental health support implies weakness or illness. Many institutions still rely on motivational talks rather than structured mental training and athletes often avoid seeking help to escape negative perceptions. Furthermore, the lack of standardized licensing, the lack of awareness, limited specialized academic programs and a fragmented approach across psychology, education and sports science domains hinder the growth of the profession. Organizations like the Sports Psychology Association of India (SPAI) are working to address these gaps through ethical standardization and capacity building.

To foster professional development and ethical growth, Sports Psychologists in India, apart from adhering to the above-mentioned professional development can adopt the following

best practices like setting clear goals for mental training programs that enhance both performance and personal development, adapting psychological skills instruction to suit local contexts and creating safe group dynamics to minimize peer pressure. Enhancing infrastructure, promoting awareness, and establishing structured academic and training programs are essential steps toward building a credible, ethically sound sports psychology profession in India.

Conclusion

Moving forward, it is recommended that future research in sports psychology should focus on developing culturally adaptive ethical frameworks, especially in diverse and underrepresented regions. Exploring the impact of ongoing ethics education, supervision, and mentorship on ethical decision-making is essential for strengthening professional development. Studies should also examine how psychologists manage emerging ethical challenges

such as digital privacy, teletherapy, and maintaining confidentiality in virtual settings. Furthermore, greater attention should be given to ethical concerns surrounding informed consent—particularly when working with minors or teams—and navigating dual relationships that may arise in close-knit sporting communities. Research into institutional policies and stigma reduction can help create more supportive environments for ethical practice. Together, these efforts will enhance both the quality of care for athletes and the credibility and integrity of the profession.

References

- Andersen, M. B. (2009). Sport psychology in practice. In J. L. R. (Ed.), *The Olympic handbook of sports medicine and science: Sport psychology* (pp. 121-132). Blackwell Publishing.
- Andersen, M. B., Van Raalte, J. L., & Brewer, B. W. (2000). When sport psychology consultants and graduate students are impaired: Ethical and legal issues in training and supervision. *Journal of Applied Sport Psychology*, 12(2), 134-150.
- Biddle, S. J., Bull, S. J., & Seheult, C. L. (1992). Ethical and professional issues in contemporary British sport psychology. *The Sport Psychologist*, 6(1), 66-76.
- Brewer, B. W., & Petrie, T. A. (2013). Psychopathology in sport and exercise. In *American Psychological Association eBooks* (pp. 311–335). <https://doi.org/10.1037/14251-014>
- Canter, M. B., Bennett, B. E., Jones, S. E., & Nagy, T. F. (1994). *Ethics for psychologists*. American Psychological Association.
- Evans, J., & Smith, M. (2018). Toward a role ethical theory of right action. *Ethical Theory and Moral Practice*, 21(3), 599-614.
- Farrell, T. S. (2012). Reflecting on reflective practice: (Re)visiting Dewey and Schön. *TESOL Journal*, 3(1), 7-16.
- Faulkner, K. K., & Faulkner, T. A. (1997). Managing multiple relationships in rural communities: Neutrality and boundary violations. *Clinical Psychology: Science and Practice*, 4(3), 225-234.
- Feddersen, N. B. (2023). Introducing empowered consent to deal with the current challenges in applied sport psychology. *Journal of Clinical Sport Psychology*, 18(2), 185-198.
- Fisher, C. B., & Oransky, M. (2008). Informed consent to psychotherapy: Protecting the dignity and respecting the autonomy of patients. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 64(5), 576-588.
- Gardner, H. (2001). *The ethical responsibilities of professionals*. Project Zero, Harvard University.
- Gill, D. L., & Kamphoff, C. S. (2009). Cultural diversity in applied sport psychology. In R. J. Schinke & S. J. Hanrahan (Eds.), *Cultural sport psychology* (pp. 45–56). Fitness Information Technology.
- Granito, V. J., & Wenz, B. J. (1995). *Reading list for professional issues in applied sport psychology*
- Haas, L. J., Malouf, J. L., & Mayerson, N. H. (1986). Ethical dilemmas in psychological practice: Results of a national survey. *Professional Psychology: Research and Practice*, 17(4), 316-323
- Hanrahan, S. J. (2009). Working with Australian Aboriginal athletes. In R. J. Schinke & S. J. Hanrahan (Eds.), *Cultural sport psychology* (pp. 185–192). Fitness Information Technology.
- Henriksen, K., Schinke, R., Moesch, K., McCann, S., Parham, W. D., Larsen, C. H., & Terry, P. (2020). Consensus statement on improving the mental health of high-performance athletes. *International Journal of Sport and Exercise Psychology*, 18(5), 553–560.
- Hines, A. H., Ader, D. N., Chang, A. S., & Rundell, J. R. (1998). Dual agency, dual relationships, boundary crossings, and associated boundary violations: A survey of military and civilian psychiatrists. *Military Medicine*, 163(12), 826-833.
- Hodge, K., Sharp, L. A., & Heke, J. I. (2011). Sport psychology consulting with indigenous athletes: The case of New Zealand Māori. *Journal of Clinical Sport Psychology*, 5(4), 350-360.
- Knapp, S., & VandeCreek, L. (2003). An overview of the major changes in the 2002 APA Ethics Code. *Professional Psychology: Research and Practice*, 34(3), 301-308.
- Lazarus, A. A. (1994). How certain boundaries and ethics diminish therapeutic effectiveness. *Ethics & Behavior*, 4(3), 255-261.
- Lodato, F. J., & Lodato, J. E. (1992). An ethical model for sport psychologists. *Revista Interamericana de Psicología*, 26(1), 101-110.
- Lubker, J. R., & Andersen, M. B. (2014). Ethical issues in supervision: Client welfare, practitioner development, and professional gatekeeping. In *Ethical issues in sport, exercise, and performance psychology* (pp. 151-162).

23. McCarthy, P., Burns, L., McCann, B., & Gupta, S. (2024). *Training and supervision in sport and exercise psychology*. Taylor & Francis.
24. McGannon, K. R., & Johnson, C. R. (2009). Strategies for reflective cultural sport psychology research. In R. J. Schinke & S. J. Hanrahan (Eds.), *Cultural sport psychology* (pp. 57–75). Fitness Information Technology.
25. Moore, Z. E. (2003). Ethical dilemmas in sport psychology: Discussion and recommendations for practice. *Professional Psychology: Research and Practice*, 34(6), 601-608.
26. Petrie, T. A., & Diehl, N. S. (1995). Sport psychology in the profession of psychology. *Professional Psychology: Research and Practice*, 26(3), 288-294.
27. Poczwadowski, A., Andersen, M. B., Van Raalte, J. L., Harwood, C., Si, G., Tshube, T., & Noce, F. (2023). ISSP position stand: Competent supervision in sport psychology. *International Journal of Sport and Exercise Psychology*, 21(6), 931-950.
28. Pope, K. S., Tabachnick, B. G., & Keith-Spiegel, P. (1987). Ethics of practice: The beliefs and behaviors of psychologists as therapists. *American Psychologist*, 42(11), 993-1006.
29. Pope, K. S., & Vasquez, M. J. (2016). *Ethics in psychotherapy and counseling: A practical guide*. John Wiley & Sons.
30. Quartiroli, A., & Wagstaff, C. (2024). Continuing education in sport psychology: A survey of where we are and where we need to go. *International Journal of Sport and Exercise Psychology*, 1-21.
31. Quartiroli, A., Harris, B. S., Brückner, S., Chow, G. M., Connoles, I. J., Cropley, B., ... & Zito, M. (2021). The International Society of Sport Psychology Registry (ISSP-R) ethical code for sport psychology practice. *International Journal of Sport and Exercise Psychology*, 19(6), 907-928.
32. Ryba, T. V., & Schinke, R. J. (2009). Methodology as a ritualized Eurocentrism: Introduction to the special issue. *International Journal of Sport and Exercise Psychology*, 7, 263-274.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/1612197X.2009.967190>
33. Ryba, T. V., Schinke, R. J., & Tenenbaum, G. (Eds.). (2010). *The cultural turn in sport and exercise psychology*. Fitness Information Technology.
34. Ryba, T. V., Schinke, R. J., Quartiroli, A., Wong, R., & Gill, D. L. (2024). ISSP position stand on cultural praxis in sport psychology: Reaffirming our commitments to the ethics of difference, cultural inclusion, and social justice. *International Journal of Sport and Exercise Psychology*, 22(3), 533-552.
35. Schinke, R. J., & Hanrahan, S. J. (2009). *Cultural sport psychology*. Human Kinetics.
36. Schinke, R. J., McGannon, K. R., Parham, W. D., & Lane, A. M. (2012). Toward cultural praxis and cultural sensitivity: Strategies for self-reflexive sport psychology practice. *Quest*, 64(1), 34–46.
37. Si, G., Duan, Y., Li, H. Y., & Jiang, X. (2011). An exploration on sociocultural meridians of Chinese athletes' psychological training. *Journal of Clinical Sport Psychology*, 5, 325–338.
38. Si, G., Duan, Y., Li, H. Y., Zhang, C. Q., & Su, N. (2015). The influence of the Chinese sport system and Chinese cultural characteristics on Olympic sport psychology services. *Psychology of Sport and Exercise*, 17, 56–67.
39. Sue, D. W., Sue, D., Neville, H. A., & Smith, L. (2022). *Counseling the culturally diverse: Theory and practice* (9th ed.). John Wiley & Sons.
40. Taylor, M. F. (1994). Ethical considerations in European cross-national research. *International Social Science Journal*, 46(142), 523–532.
41. Thakkar, A. (2020). Sports psychology and its need in India. *Indian Journal of Mental Health*, 7(2), 143–147.
42. Van Raalte, J. L., Brewer, B. W., Linder, D. E., & DeLange, N. (1990). Perceptions of sport-oriented professionals: A multidimensional scaling analysis. *The Sport Psychologist*, 4(3), 228–234.
43. Watson, J. C., Harris, B. S., & Baillie, P. (2020). Ethical issues impacting the profession of sport psychology. In J. L. Van Raalte & B. W. Brewer (Eds.), *Handbook of sport psychology* (pp. 751–772). Wiley.
44. Whelan, J. P., Meyers, A. W., & Elkins, T. D. (2002). Ethics in sport and exercise psychology. [Journal Name], [Volume Number], [Page Range].
45. Werth Jr, J. L., Cummings, D. L., & Thompson, M. N. (2008). Legal and ethical issues affecting counselling psychologists. In S. J. Brown & R. W. Lent (Eds.), *Handbook of counselling psychology* (pp. [page range]).
46. Zeigler, E. F. (1987). Rationale and suggested dimensions for a code of ethics for sport psychologists. *The Sport Psychologist*, 1(2), 138-150.