VIDYABHARATI
Vidyabharati International Interdisciplinary Research Journal
ISSN 2319-4979

Green Economy and Sustainable Economic Development: Reorienting Growth through Green Innovation, Circularity and Inclusive Finance

Dr. Sonali P. Kumre
Assistant Professor, Faculty of Commerce and Management, R.A. Shri M.K. Commerce and Shri S.R. Rathi Mahavidyalaya Washim Affiliated to Sant Gadge Baba Amravati University Amravati

Abstract
Economic development has traditionally been associated with higher production, increasing consumption and expansion of industrial activity. Although this model has contributed to employment and income generation, it has also intensified pressure on natural resources, energy systems and the environment. The emerging green economy attempts to address this contradiction by linking economic activity with resource efficiency, environmental protection, technological innovation and social well-being. For developing economies such as India, the transition is particularly important because the country must simultaneously pursue economic growth, employment generation, industrial development and environmental sustainability. The present paper examines the relationship between the green economy and sustainable economic development with particular reference to green innovation, circular economy practices and green finance. The paper is conceptual and analytical in nature and is based on published research studies. The literature indicates that green innovation can contribute not only to environmental improvement but also to firm competitiveness and economic performance. Research on India has further shown that green finance can support sustainable economic growth and environmental quality, while financial and regulatory barriers continue to restrict the wider adoption of green investment. Circular economy approaches provide another pathway by reducing dependence on virgin resources, extending product life and recovering value from waste. However, the transition is not automatically inclusive. Small enterprises, workers in vulnerable sectors and regions with weaker institutional capacity may face greater adjustment costs. The paper argues that sustainable economic development requires a shift from a narrow "growth versus environment" debate towards a model in which innovation, finance, resource efficiency and social inclusion reinforce one another. It proposes an integrated framework based on five pillars: green innovation, circular production, sustainable finance, green employment and inclusive institutions. The paper concludes that India's green transition will depend not only on technological advancement but also on the ability of financial institutions, enterprises, governments and communities to make environmental sustainability economically viable and socially accessible.

Keywords: *Green Economy, Sustainable Economic Development, Green Innovation, Circular Economy, Green Finance, Green Growth, Sustainable Development*

Introduction

Economic growth has always been one of the central objectives of development policy. Higher production creates employment, generates income, expands markets and can improve living standards. Yet the experience of industrial development has also demonstrated that economic growth can carry substantial environmental costs when it depends heavily on fossil fuels, intensive resource extraction and high levels of waste. This creates a difficult policy question for developing economies. Economic activity cannot simply be stopped in the name of environmental protection. At the same time, continuing with resource-intensive growth without considering ecological limits creates long-term economic risks. The issue, therefore, is not whether economies should grow, but **how they should grow**. A green economy does not imply the withdrawal of industry or the rejection of markets. It represents a change in the way economic value is created. Cleaner technologies, renewable energy, resource-efficient production, sustainable finance, recycling, repair, reuse and environmentally responsible consumption become part of the economic system rather than being treated as separate environmental activities.

The role of innovation is particularly important in this transition. Research on listed manufacturing firms has found a positive relationship between green patenting and firm performance, although the strength of this relationship can depend on institutional and ownership conditions (Zhang et al., 2019). More recent evidence also suggests that the quality of green innovation matters. High-quality green innovation has been found to have stronger implications for financial performance than lower-quality forms of green innovation (The effect of green innovation on corporate financial performance, 2024). This is important because it challenges the assumption that environmental investment necessarily reduces economic

competitiveness. Properly designed green innovation can reduce material and energy costs, create new products, improve production processes and open new markets. India's situation makes this discussion particularly relevant. The country requires sustained economic growth and large-scale employment creation while simultaneously dealing with resource pressure, pollution and climate-related risks. Recent empirical research based on Indian state-level data found that green finance contributes to sustainable economic growth and environmental quality, while fintech can strengthen some of these relationships (2023).

At the same time, green transition requires investment. Cleaner technologies, renewable energy systems, efficient machinery, waste-processing facilities and circular production models often involve significant initial expenditure. Bhatnagar et al. (2025), examining green finance and investment in India, identified financial and legal-regulatory barriers as major constraints, while technological innovation and clean-energy development emerged as important enablers. The green economy should therefore be understood as an economic transformation rather than simply an environmental programme.

Objectives Of The Study

The present paper has the following objectives:

1. To study the conceptual relationship between the green economy and sustainable economic development.
2. To study the role of green innovation in creating environmentally responsible economic growth.
3. To study the contribution of circular economy practices to resource efficiency and sustainable production.
4. To study the role of green finance in supporting the transition towards a sustainable economy.
5. To study major barriers that may slow the adoption of green economic practices.
6. To propose an integrated framework for inclusive and sustainable green economic development.

Research Methodology

The study is conceptual and analytical in nature. It is based entirely on secondary literature published in academic journals and research publications dealing with green economy, sustainable development, green innovation, circular economy and green finance. Research studies were selected according to their relevance to the objectives of the paper. Particular attention was given to empirical studies examining the relationship between environmental practices and economic performance, as well as studies focusing on India and other emerging economies.

The reviewed literature was organised into five broad themes:

- Green innovation and economic performance
- Circular economy and resource efficiency
- Green finance and investment
- Green employment and inclusive development
- Institutional and policy challenges

The findings of these studies were then interpreted to develop an integrated framework for sustainable economic development.

Review Of Literature

The economic significance of green innovation has been examined in several empirical studies. **Zhang et al. (2019)**, using data from listed manufacturing firms in China, found a positive relationship between green patenting and firm performance. Their findings suggest that environmental innovation can generate economic value rather than functioning solely as a compliance expenditure.

Liu (2024) also examined green innovation, firm performance and risk mitigation using data from S&P 500 firms between 2001 and 2022. The study associated green innovation with firm value, environmental performance and risk reduction. The relationship should not be treated as

automatically positive in every situation. Research has increasingly distinguished between substantive green innovation and innovation undertaken primarily for strategic or symbolic purposes. A 2024 study of Chinese listed firms found that substantive green innovation improved financial performance, whereas strategic green innovation produced different financial consequences, although both forms had environmental implications.

Indian enterprises are increasingly operating within an environment in which environmental performance, ESG expectations and technological change influence business decisions. **Gidage and Bhide (2025)** examined 77 Indian manufacturing firms listed on the NIFTY India Manufacturing Index. Their study investigated the relationships among green intellectual capital, green finance, green innovation and ESG performance and found an important role for green innovation within these relationships.

The linear economic model can be represented simply as:

Take → Make → Use → Dispose

Natural resources are extracted, converted into products, consumed and eventually discarded.

The circular economy attempts to alter this sequence:

Reduce → Reuse → Repair → Remanufacture → Recycle → Recover

The objective is not merely to improve waste management after products have been discarded. The more fundamental objective is to reduce waste at the design and production stages. Circularity can contribute to economic development through several mechanisms. Longer product life can reduce material demand. Repair and remanufacturing can create employment. Recycling can recover economically valuable materials. Industrial symbiosis can allow one firm's waste to become another firm's input.

Recent research on India's MSME sector demonstrates the relevance of this approach. **Sharma and Sharma (2026)** found that collaborative networks and sustainable innovation capabilities significantly contribute to sustainable performance among Indian MSMEs, while institutional conditions and green finance influence the transition. Circular economy therefore provides an important bridge between environmental sustainability and economic activity.

Research focusing specifically on India has also identified barriers. **Bhatnagar et al. (2025)** examined 43 barriers and 32 enablers affecting green finance and investment. Financial and legal-regulatory barriers emerged as major constraints, while technological innovation and clean-energy development were identified as important enablers. These findings suggest that simply increasing the availability of green finance may not be enough. Financial institutions need reliable information to evaluate environmental projects, firms need the capability to prepare viable proposals, and investors need confidence that "green" claims are credible.

Sahoo, Saini and Kaushik (2026), using Indian data from 1990–2022, found that the relationship between technological innovation, economic growth and environmental outcomes is complex. Their findings indicate that technological innovation and GDP growth may increase emissions in some long-run specifications, whereas green finance, renewable energy, urbanisation and education were associated with emission reductions.

Major Challenges In The Transition To A Green Economy

1. High Initial Investment: Green technologies often require significant upfront investment. Small firms may find the transition difficult even when the long-term economic benefits are attractive.

2. Financing Constraints: Limited access to affordable finance can prevent MSMEs from investing in cleaner technologies and circular production systems.

3. Regulatory Uncertainty: Businesses are more likely to make long-term investments when environmental regulations and policy directions are predictable.

4. Greenwashing: The rapid expansion of ESG and green markets creates a risk that firms may present conventional activities as environmentally responsible without substantial changes in their environmental performance.

5. Skill Gaps: Green transformation requires engineers, technicians, managers, financial professionals and workers with new forms of environmental and technological knowledge.

6. Unequal Capacity: Large corporations may have greater access to finance, technology and specialised personnel than small enterprises. A green transition designed only around large firms may therefore increase competitive inequalities.

7. Weak Circular Infrastructure: Recycling, repair and recovery require collection systems, sorting facilities, logistics and markets for recovered materials. Without this infrastructure, circular business models remain difficult to scale.

An Integrated Framework For Sustainable Green Economic Development

The literature reviewed in this paper suggests that green economic development can be understood through five interconnected pillars.

Pillar 1: Green Innovation: Research and innovation should focus on cleaner production, renewable energy, resource efficiency, sustainable materials and environmentally responsible products.

Pillar 2: Circular Production: Businesses should reduce waste and retain materials in productive use for as long as possible through reuse, repair, remanufacturing and recycling.

Pillar 3: Green Finance: Financial institutions should develop mechanisms that make environmentally beneficial investments financially accessible, particularly for MSMEs.

Pillar 4: Green Employment: Skill development and workforce transition programmes should accompany technological and industrial transformation.

Pillar 5: Inclusive Institutions: Government, financial institutions, industry, educational institutions and communities need to work together. Policies should ensure that the cost and benefits of transition are not distributed unfairly.

These five pillars can be represented as:

Green Innovation
↓
Cleaner Production + Circular Economy
↓
Resource Efficiency + New Markets
↓
Green Investment + Green Employment
↓
Sustainable and Inclusive Economic Development

This framework highlights an important point: environmental sustainability and economic development should not be treated as two independent policy agendas.

Recommendations

1. Promote Green Innovation Beyond Large Corporations: Government and financial institutions should create specific support mechanisms for MSMEs adopting cleaner technologies. Innovation grants, technology-sharing platforms and credit guarantees can reduce the financial risk associated with environmental investment.

2. Develop Sector-Specific Circular Economy Models: Circular economy strategies should be designed according to sectoral conditions. Textile, chemical, agricultural, automobile, construction and electronics industries have different material flows and waste characteristics.

3. Strengthen Green Finance: Green credit products should be supported by transparent eligibility criteria, reliable environmental indicators and appropriate monitoring systems. Financial institutions should also develop specialised assessment capabilities for green projects.

4. Build Green Skills: Universities, colleges and vocational institutions should introduce programmes covering renewable energy, environmental management, circular production, sustainable supply chains, green finance and environmental technologies.

5. Support a Just Employment Transition: Workers affected by structural changes in carbon-intensive sectors should receive opportunities for retraining and reskilling. Green growth should generate productive employment rather than merely shift environmental costs from one group to another.

6. Improve Environmental Information: Reliable environmental data is necessary for investors, regulators and consumers. Firms should improve the quality and comparability of environmental disclosures so that genuinely sustainable businesses can be distinguished from symbolic claims.

7. Encourage Sustainable Public Procurement: Public institutions represent a substantial purchasing market. Procurement policies can create demand for energy-efficient products, recycled materials, low-carbon technologies and environmentally responsible services.

8. Strengthen University–Industry Collaboration: Universities can contribute significantly through research, technology development and skill formation. Collaboration with industry can help convert laboratory innovations into commercially viable green technologies.

Conclusion

The green economy should not be understood as a temporary environmental trend. It represents a broader change in the way economic development is conceived. For decades, economic success was largely associated with producing more, consuming more and expanding physical output. The environmental consequences of that model have made it increasingly necessary to ask another question: Can economies create greater value while using fewer resources and generating less environmental damage? The evidence reviewed in this paper suggests that the answer can be positive, but it is not automatic. Green innovation can improve environmental performance and, under suitable conditions, contribute to firm performance. Circular economy practices can create new value from materials that would otherwise become waste. Green finance can redirect capital towards environmentally beneficial activities. Digital and technological innovation can improve resource efficiency. At the same time, each of these transitions faces practical constraints related to finance, skills, infrastructure, regulation and institutional capacity. India's challenge is particularly complex because the country cannot separate environmental sustainability from the need for employment, industrial development and poverty reduction. The green transition must therefore be economically productive as well as environmentally responsible. The central argument of this paper is that sustainable economic development requires the integration of innovation, finance, circularity and social inclusion. Green technology without affordable finance will remain inaccessible to many firms. Green finance without credible innovation may produce limited environmental benefits. Circular production without markets and infrastructure will struggle to expand. Economic growth without attention to employment and distribution may leave vulnerable communities behind. The green economy will become meaningful when sustainability is incorporated into ordinary economic decisions—what firms produce, how they produce it, where investment is directed, how workers are trained and how resources are used. The transition is therefore not simply about making the existing economy "greener." It is about creating an economic system in which environmental responsibility becomes a source of innovation, productivity, employment and long-term economic resilience.

References

1. Bhatnagar, S., Sharma, D., & Singh, V. V. (2025). Green finance and investment in India: Unveiling enablers and barriers for a sustainable future. *Journal of Cleaner Production, 493*, 144908. doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2025.144908
2. Gidage, M., & Bhide, S. (2025). ESG performance and green innovation nexus: Insights from Indian manufacturing titans. *Business Strategy and the Environment, 34*(7), 8686–8703. doi:10.1002/bse.70041
3. Liu, L. (2024). Green innovation, firm performance, and risk mitigation: Evidence from the USA. *Environment, Development and Sustainability, 26*, 24009–24030. doi:10.1007/s10668-023-03632-z
4. Nisamudheen, P. P., & Shareef, K. H. (2026). Assessing the role of green finance in fostering renewable energy transition and sustainable development in India. *Discover Environment, 4*, 62. doi:10.1007/s44274-025-00427-z
5. Sahoo, M., Saini, S., & Kaushik, S. (2026). Green finance, innovation, and environmental sustainability in India: A dynamic ARDL simulation approach. *Sustainable Development, 34*(4), 5126–5141. doi:10.1002/sd.70608
6. Sharma, V., & Sharma, M. (2026). MSME sustainable performance in India's circular economy: Networks, innovation, and institutional enablers. *Journal of Cleaner Production, 546*, 147745. doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2026.147745

7. Tang, M., Walsh, G., Lerner, D., Fitza, M. A., & Li, Q. (2018). Green innovation, managerial concern and firm performance: An empirical study. *Business Strategy and the Environment, 27*(1), 39–51. doi:10.1002/bse.1981
8. Zhang, D., Rong, Z., & Ji, Q. (2019). Green innovation and firm performance: Evidence from listed companies in China. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling, 144*, 48–55. doi: 10.1016/j.resconrec.2019.01.023
9. Jaishree, & Dogga, S. M. (2026). Green finance as a catalyst of sustainable economic growth: Panel evidence from South Asia. *Sustainable Development*, 1–18. doi:10.1002/sd.71172
10. Veerasundaram, J., Suyamburajan, V. A., Kumarasamy, S., Govindasamy, M., Manickam, A., et al. (2026). The circular economy paradigm: Integrating theory, practices, and innovations for sustainable development. *Circular Economy and Sustainability, 6*, 290. doi:10.1007/s43615-026-01022-x
11. Dubey, S. S., et al. (2026). Fin-Tech adoption and dual sustainability: The mediating role of green finance and circular economy in Indian MSMEs. *Sustainable Development*. doi:10.1002/sd.71334
12. Rokde, V., Kanoujiya, J., & Rastogi, S. (2026). Integrating economic growth, environmental emissions and labour vulnerability for circular economy supply chain resilience in India: An ARDL approach. *Business Strategy & Development*. doi:10.1002/bsd2.70396