VIDYABHARATI Vidyabharati International Interdisciplinary Research Journal ISSN 2319-4979

Reimagining the Anthropocene: Ecology, Climate Crisis and Human Responsibility in *The Living Mountain* by Amitav Ghosh

Dr. Santosh S. Pachkudke
Asst. Professor, Department of English, G. S. Gawande Mahavidyalaya, Umarkhed, Dist. Yavatmal

Dr. K. D. Bompilwar
Professor, Department of English, G. S. Gawande Mahavidyalaya, Umarkhed, Dist. Yavatmal

Abstract
Amitav Ghosh's The Living Mountain: A Fable for Our Times presents a powerful literary response to the ecological and climatic crises of the contemporary world. Written as a fable, the work imagines a mountain named Mahaparbat as a living entity surrounded by indigenous communities whose existence is closely connected with the rhythms of the natural world. In contrast, the Anthropoi arrive with a radically different understanding of the mountain. They view it as a resource that can be measured, extracted, possessed, and converted into economic wealth. The conflict between these two systems of thought becomes an allegory of the larger ecological crisis produced by modern extractive civilization. This paper examines The Living Mountain through the theoretical perspectives of Eco-criticism and Anthropocene studies. It argues that Ghosh challenges the conventional anthropocentric understanding of nature and proposes an alternative ecological imagination based on interdependence, respect, restraint, and responsibility. The paper further examines the representation of the mountain as an active ecological presence, the contrast between indigenous ecological knowledge and extractive modernity, the relationship between capitalism and environmental destruction, the climate crisis as a crisis of imagination, and the ethical responsibility of human beings toward the nonhuman world. The paper concludes that The Living Mountain reimagines the Anthropocene not simply as an age of human domination but as a moment requiring a transformation of human consciousness and human relationships with the Earth.

Keywords: *Anthropocene, eco-criticism, climate change, ecology, environmental crisis, indigenous knowledge, human responsibility, environmental humanities*

Introduction

The twenty-first century has witnessed an unprecedented awareness of the environmental consequences of human activity. Climate change, deforestation, biodiversity loss, pollution, resource depletion, melting glaciers, rising temperatures, floods, droughts, and extreme weather events have transformed the relationship between human beings and the natural environment into one of the central concerns of contemporary thought. The concept of the Anthropocene has emerged within this context to describe a period in which human activities have become a major force shaping planetary systems. The concept challenges the traditional assumption that human history and natural history can be treated as separate domains. As Dipesh Chakrabarty argues, climate change creates a historical situation in which human history and geological history become deeply interconnected (197-222).

Literature has an important role in this transformation of environmental consciousness because ecological crisis is not only a scientific or political problem. It is also a problem of imagination, language, culture, ethics, and representation. Amitav Ghosh has repeatedly emphasized this dimension of the climate crisis. In *The Great Derangement: Climate Change and the Unthinkable*, he argues that the climate crisis is also a crisis of culture and imagination. His argument is that modern literary and cultural forms have often struggled to represent the extraordinary scale and unpredictability of climate change.

Ghosh's *The Living Mountain: A Fable for Our Times* provides an important literary response to this crisis of imagination. Published in 2022, the work is presented as a fable in which a mountain called Mahaparbat becomes the central presence in a conflict between an indigenous community and a group called the Anthropoi. The Anthropoi approach the mountain primarily as a source of wealth and material resources, while the valley dwellers understand it as a living presence with which human life is intimately connected. The publisher describes the narrative as a cautionary tale about the systematic exploitation of nature and the environmental collapse that follows.

The significance of *The Living Mountain* lies in its simplicity. Rather than presenting climate change through statistical information or scientific argument, Ghosh uses the form of the fable to dramatize the consequences of an exploitative relationship with nature. The mountain is not

merely a geographical object. It possesses agency, memory, presence, and power. Through this representation, Ghosh challenges anthropocentrism—the belief that the human being is the central measure of value—and invites readers to imagine a more reciprocal relationship between humans and the nonhuman world.

This paper argues that *The Living Mountain* reimagines the Anthropocene by challenging the idea of human mastery over nature. The text presents ecological destruction as the consequence of a particular worldview based on extraction, commodification, domination, and limitless consumption. At the same time, it offers an alternative ecological imagination grounded in interdependence, indigenous knowledge, humility, and responsibility. The mountain becomes both a victim of human exploitation and an active participant in the ecological system. Through this narrative, Ghosh transforms the climate crisis into an ethical and imaginative question: how should human beings understand their place within the larger living world?

Mahaparbat as a Living Ecological Presence

The most important symbolic element in *The Living Mountain* is Mahaparbat. The mountain is not simply the setting of the narrative; it is central to the narrative's moral and ecological structure. Its characterization challenges the conventional literary treatment of landscape as passive background.

In many anthropocentric narratives, nature functions primarily as a setting for human action. Mountains, forests, rivers, and oceans often become scenic environments against which human stories unfold. Ghosh reverses this relationship. The mountain becomes a participant in the story. Human beings must respond to the mountain rather than simply use it as a stage for their activities.

The word "living" is especially significant. It encourages readers to reconsider the distinction between the human and nonhuman worlds. A mountain has a different form of existence from a human being, but the narrative asks readers to recognize that difference without reducing the mountain to an inert object. This is consistent with contemporary ecological thinking, which emphasizes interconnected systems rather than isolated entities.

Timothy Morton argues that ecological thought must move beyond simplistic ideas of "nature" as something separate from human existence. In *Ecology without Nature*, Morton examines the assumptions behind conventional environmental thinking and questions the separation between human beings and the natural world (2007). Ghosh's mountain can be understood in similar terms. Mahaparbat is not "nature" positioned outside humanity; it is part of the ecological network within which human beings themselves exist.

The mountain also represents ecological memory. Human beings may imagine that they can transform landscapes without consequences, but the mountain retains the history of those transformations. The environmental damage produced by extraction eventually returns to the human community. In this sense, Mahaparbat becomes a reminder that ecological systems respond to human intervention.

The destruction of the mountain therefore cannot be understood as merely the destruction of an external object. It is ultimately the destruction of the conditions that support human life. Ghosh's narrative thus exposes the contradiction at the heart of exploitative modernity: human beings attempt to dominate the natural world while simultaneously depending completely upon it.

The Anthropoi and the Logic of Extraction

The Anthropoi are among the most important symbolic figures in the fable. Their name is deliberately suggestive of "Anthropos," meaning human. They represent a particular model of humanity rather than every human being. Their defining characteristic is their desire to extract wealth from the mountain. The Anthropoi see Mahaparbat through the logic of possession and profit. They are unable to understand the mountain according to the spiritual, cultural, ecological, and relational values recognized by the indigenous inhabitants. Their approach transforms a living ecological system into a commodity.

This distinction between relationship and extraction is central to the environmental message of the text. The valley dwellers do not necessarily exist outside nature; their lives are shaped by it. They depend on the mountain while also recognizing its significance. Their relationship suggests reciprocity rather than domination. The Anthropoi, by contrast, embody the logic of

unlimited exploitation. The mountain is valued according to the economic benefits it can provide. Such an approach reflects a larger historical pattern in which land and natural resources have been incorporated into systems of commercial production.

The environmental crisis is therefore presented as a crisis of values. The problem is not simply that humans possess technology capable of transforming nature. The deeper problem is the belief that everything that can be extracted should be extracted. Technology becomes destructive when it is guided by a worldview that recognizes no meaningful limits.

Ghosh's fable consequently asks readers to distinguish between humanity as a biological species and the particular economic and cultural systems through which humans organize their relationship with the environment. Not every human relationship with nature is equally destructive. The Anthropoi represent one particular form of human ambition: an ambition that treats ecological limits as obstacles to economic expansion.

Indigenous Ecological Knowledge and Alternative Ways of Knowing

The valley dwellers provide an important alternative to the worldview represented by the Anthropoi. Their knowledge of the mountain is not primarily scientific or commercial. It is experiential, cultural, spiritual, and intergenerational. They understand their environment through long association with it.

This representation is important in the context of postcolonial environmental studies. Colonial and capitalist systems have frequently treated indigenous ecological knowledge as primitive or unscientific while privileging forms of knowledge that support extraction and economic development. Ghosh's fable reverses this hierarchy. The valley dwellers possess an understanding of ecological limits. Their relationship with Mahaparbat is based on adaptation rather than conquest. The mountain is not something to be defeated or mastered. It is something with which human beings must live.

This does not mean that Ghosh romanticizes indigenous communities as perfect ecological subjects. Rather, the narrative gives serious attention to a worldview that modern extractive civilization has marginalized. The text suggests that environmental survival may require recovering forms of knowledge that modernity has neglected. The importance of indigenous ecological knowledge is also connected with the question of cultural memory. Environmental knowledge is not always contained in scientific reports. It can be preserved in stories, rituals, practices, songs, beliefs, and relationships with particular landscapes. The fable form of *The Living Mountain* itself participates in this alternative mode of ecological knowledge.

Ghosh therefore uses storytelling as a means of challenging the authority of purely instrumental knowledge. The fable communicates ecological truth through imagination. Its lesson is not merely that mountains contain valuable ecosystems; it is that human beings must learn how to inhabit the world without destroying the relationships that sustain life.

Nature as Subject Rather than Object

One of the central achievements of *The Living Mountain* is its transformation of nature from object to subject. In an anthropocentric worldview, human beings are active subjects while nature is passive matter. Ghosh reverses this hierarchy by presenting the mountain as an active ecological presence. This transformation has important ethical implications. If nature is merely an object, exploitation can be justified through economic or technological arguments. But if nature is understood as a living system with its own processes and agency, human intervention must be reconsidered.

The distinction between subject and object is therefore closely connected with environmental responsibility. The Anthropoi's mistake is not simply that they take too many resources. Their deeper mistake is conceptual: they fail to recognize the mountain as something other than a resource. The mountain's living quality also challenges the modern division between culture and nature. The valley dwellers' lives demonstrate that culture develops within ecological relationships. Their customs, beliefs, livelihood, and collective memory are inseparable from the mountain.

Donna Haraway's *Staying with the Trouble* similarly emphasizes the importance of thinking beyond isolated human existence and recognizing the interconnectedness of humans and other forms of life. Haraway proposes the idea of "making kin" and emphasizes forms of coexistence

within a damaged ecological world. Ghosh's fable can be read alongside this ecological emphasis on interdependence. The survival of the human community depends upon its ability to live within rather than outside ecological relationships.

Capitalism, Consumption, and Environmental Destruction

Another important theme in *The Living Mountain* is the relationship between environmental destruction and economic ambition. The Anthropoi do not destroy the mountain simply because they hate nature. They destroy it because they want to derive economic benefits from it. This distinction is important because it prevents the environmental crisis from being reduced to individual moral failure. The problem is structural. People and institutions operate within economic systems that reward extraction, production, consumption, and accumulation.

Ghosh's portrayal of the Anthropoi therefore raises questions about the ideology of unlimited economic growth. A finite planet cannot provide infinite resources. Yet modern economic systems often depend upon continuous expansion.

The mountain becomes a metaphor for the limits that such a system refuses to acknowledge. The Anthropoi believe that the mountain can continue to provide wealth. They do not adequately understand that ecological systems have thresholds and limits. When those limits are crossed, the consequences become difficult or impossible to reverse. This aspect of the narrative connects *The Living Mountain* with Anthropocene thinking. The Anthropocene is not simply an age in which "humans" collectively cause environmental change. It also raises questions about which human activities, institutions, technologies, and economic systems have produced particular forms of planetary transformation.

Thus, the fable encourages readers to ask not only "What have humans done to nature?" but also "What kind of human civilization has produced these actions?" This is a more complex question because it recognizes differences in responsibility, power, wealth, and historical participation.

Environmental Justice and Unequal Vulnerability

Environmental destruction is rarely experienced equally by all communities. Those who benefit most from extractive economic systems are not always those who experience their environmental consequences most severely. This issue of unequal vulnerability is implicit in Ghosh's narrative. The valley dwellers are closely connected to the mountain and therefore experience its destruction as a direct threat to their existence. The Anthropoi, meanwhile, approach the mountain primarily from an external and commercial perspective.

The contrast suggests an important principle of environmental justice: those whose lives are most closely tied to particular ecosystems can experience environmental degradation in particularly immediate ways. Loss of forests, rivers, mountains, agricultural land, or biodiversity can become simultaneously ecological, economic, cultural, and spiritual loss. The text therefore encourages an understanding of environmental crisis that goes beyond carbon emissions and temperature statistics. Climate change affects homes, livelihoods, cultures, languages, memories, and relationships with place.

This perspective is especially important in postcolonial contexts. The history of environmental exploitation cannot always be separated from histories of empire, resource extraction, displacement, and economic inequality. Ghosh's larger body of work repeatedly explores these connections, and *The Living Mountain* condenses many of these concerns into its allegorical conflict.

Human Responsibility in the Anthropocene

The title of this paper emphasizes "human responsibility" because *The Living Mountain* does not suggest that humanity can escape ecological responsibility by blaming technology, capitalism, or modernity alone. Human beings remain capable of making choices and changing systems.

However, responsibility must be understood collectively and structurally rather than simply as individual guilt. The climate crisis cannot be solved merely by asking individuals to become environmentally conscious consumers. It requires changes in institutions, economic practices, political structures, technologies, and cultural values. Ghosh's work is valuable because it expands the meaning of responsibility. Human responsibility includes the responsibility to imagine differently. Before human societies can build different relationships with the environment, they must be able to conceive of those relationships.

The Anthropocene therefore becomes an ethical challenge. If human activity has acquired planetary consequences, human responsibility must also expand to planetary dimensions. Human beings must recognize that their actions affect beings and systems beyond the immediate human community. At the same time, responsibility should not be interpreted as absolute human control. The lesson of the mountain is precisely that human beings cannot completely control ecological systems. Responsibility means recognizing limits and acting within them. The proper response to the Anthropocene is consequently not greater domination but greater ecological humility.

The Fable as an Ecological Form

The form of *The Living Mountain* deserves particular attention. Ghosh does not write a scientific report, a conventional realist novel, or a political manifesto. He chooses the fable. The fable is traditionally associated with moral instruction. Animals, landscapes, objects, or imaginary characters often represent larger human qualities and social problems. Ghosh adapts this tradition for the Anthropocene. The fable enables the author to compress complex ecological questions into recognizable narrative relationships. The mountain represents the more-than-human world; the valley dwellers represent a relational ecological consciousness; and the Anthropoi represent extractive modernity.

This structure allows the text to operate simultaneously at literal, symbolic, and allegorical levels. Mahaparbat is a mountain, but it is also a metaphor for the Earth. The Anthropoi are characters, but they also represent a mode of civilization. The ecological disaster is fictional, but it reflects real environmental processes. The form therefore becomes part of the ecological argument. If the climate crisis requires new forms of imagination, the fable offers one possible alternative to conventional realism.

Ghosh's choice is especially significant because it allows the nonhuman world to acquire narrative agency. A mountain that becomes a character is no longer simply scenery. It becomes part of the moral structure of the story.

Reimagining Human Identity

The Anthropocene requires a rethinking of what it means to be human. Traditional humanism often emphasizes human exceptionalism—the belief that human beings occupy a unique position above the rest of nature. Ecological thought complicates this assumption by emphasizing dependence and interconnection. *The Living Mountain* does not reject humanity. Instead, it challenges a particular model of humanity based on mastery and domination. The Anthropoi represent humanity disconnected from ecological limits. Their identity is based on possession, consumption, and expansion. The valley dwellers represent a different possibility: humanity understood as part of an ecological community.

This distinction is essential. The solution to the Anthropocene cannot simply be anti-human. Human beings possess the capacity to damage ecosystems, but they also possess the capacity to imagine, care, cooperate, and transform social institutions. Ghosh's narrative therefore suggests that the future depends upon a redefinition of human greatness. True human achievement cannot be measured only through economic growth, technological development, or control over nature. It must also be measured through the capacity to live responsibly within ecological limits.

From Anthropocene to Ecological Humility

The Anthropocene is often described as the age of human power. Ghosh's fable offers a different interpretation: it may also be the age in which humanity discovers the limits of its power. Human beings have developed technologies capable of transforming landscapes on a massive scale. Yet ecological systems remain complex and unpredictable. The more humanity attempts to control the environment, the more clearly the limits of control become visible. The mountain is therefore a powerful symbol of ecological humility. Humans may excavate it, reshape it, measure it, and exploit it, but they cannot completely control the ecological relationships in which it exists. The lesson is not that humans are powerless. Rather, it is that power must be accompanied by responsibility.

This distinction is crucial. An irresponsible understanding of power assumes that whatever can be done should be done. An ecological understanding of power asks what consequences actions will have for other beings, future generations, and planetary systems. Ghosh's *The Living*

Mountain consequently reimagines the Anthropocene as an ethical turning point. Humanity must move from domination toward coexistence, from extraction toward reciprocity, and from unlimited expansion toward ecological responsibility.

Conclusion

Amitav Ghosh's *The Living Mountain: A Fable for Our Times* is a significant literary intervention in contemporary debates about the Anthropocene, ecological crisis, and climate change. Through the symbolic figure of Mahaparbat, Ghosh transforms the mountain from an inert landscape into a living ecological presence. Through the Anthropoi, he represents the destructive logic of extraction, commodification, and unlimited economic ambition. Through the valley dwellers, he foregrounds an alternative relationship with the environment based on interdependence, cultural memory, ecological knowledge, and respect.

References

1. Ghosh, Amitav. *The Great Derangement: Climate Change and the Unthinkable*. University of Chicago Press, 2016.
2. Ghosh, Amitav. *The Living Mountain: A Fable for Our Times*. Fourth Estate, HarperCollins Publishers India, 2022.
3. Glotfelty, Cheryll, and Harold Fromm, editors. *The Ecocriticism Reader: Landmarks in Literary Ecology*. University of Georgia Press, 1996.
4. Haraway, Donna J. *Staying with the Trouble: Making Kin in the Chthulucene*. Duke University Press, 2016.
5. Morton, Timothy. *Ecology without Nature: Rethinking Environmental Aesthetics*. Harvard University Press, 2007.
6. Muraleedharan, Manju. "Climate Change, Culture, and the Crisis of Imagination in Amitav Ghosh's *The Great Derangement*." *ShodhKosh: Journal of Visual and Performing Arts*, vol. 4, no. 2, 2023.
7. Parthasarathi, Prasannan, et al. "JAS Round Table on Amitav Ghosh, *The Great Derangement: Climate Change and the Unthinkable*." *The Journal of Asian Studies*, Cambridge University Press.
8. Singh, Bhupender, and Umed Singh. "Reimagining the Anthropocene: A Critical Inquiry into the Limits of Literary Imagination in Amitav Ghosh's *The Great Derangement*." *Anusandhanvallari*, 2024.
9. Singh, Divya. "Postcolonial Narratives and the 'Crisis of Imagination' in the Anthropocene." *Journal of Creative Research in English Literature and Culture*, 2026.