Vidyabharati International Interdisciplinary Research Journal
ISSN 2319-4979

Diversity and Abundance of Freshwater Zooplankton in Chondi Dam Ecosystem

Pawan Panpatil
Department of Zoology, Late Ujwalbapu Deshmukh College, Niwana (MS) India
Affiliated to Sant Gadge Baba Amravati University, Amravati (MS) India
pawanpatil385@gmail.com

Abstract
The present study was undertaken to study zooplankton diversity of Chondi dam. Chondi dam is 7 km away from Sangrampur city, located near village Rudhana and Kalamkhed in Buldhana District of Maharashtra. The dam was completed in 1976 and its water was mainly used for drinking and agricultural purpose. chondi Dam is situated in the area between longitude 76.5139° E and latitude 21.0976° N '. Zooplankton play an important role in aquatic food webs. They consume phytoplankton, bacteria and other small organisms and are themselves consumed by fish and other aquatic animals. Therefore, they help transfer energy and nutrients from lower to higher trophic levels. The composition and abundance of zooplankton can change according to environmental factors such as temperature, pH, dissolved oxygen, nutrient availability, turbidity and pollution. For this reason, zooplankton communities can be useful in studying the ecological condition of freshwater bodies. Traditional zooplankton studies commonly involve collection using plankton nets followed by microscopic identification. Present investigation was carried out during the year of 2024-2025. Total 09 species of zooplankton were recorded. Among these 06 species belonging to Rotifera, 01 species belonging to Copepoda, 02 species belonging Cladocera were found. Rotifers observed were -1. Ascomorpha saltans (Bartsch, 1870), 2. Brachionus calyciflourus (Pallas, 1834), 3. Brachionus bidentata (Jokubsky, 1912), 4. Lecane leontina (Turner, 1892), 5. Trichotria tetractis (Ehrenberg, 1830) and 6.Trichocerca pusilla (Jennings, 1903). Species of Copepoda observed was 1. Moina micrura (Kurz, 1874) and that belonging to Cladocera were -1. Ceriodaphnia quadrangular (Müller, 1785) and 2.Diaphanosoma birgei (Korineck 1981). Species belonging to Rotifera are dominant among zooplankton which indicates the polluted nature of the lake water. They form an important link between primary producers such as phytoplankton and higher trophic-level organisms, particularly fish. The present study was undertaken to observe and identify the diversity of zooplankton in a freshwater ecosystem. Water samples were collected and filtered through a plankton net and the collected organisms were preserved and examined under a compound microscope. The observed zooplankton were broadly represented by Rotifera, Cladocera and Copepoda. The study demonstrates that zooplankton diversity and abundance can provide useful information about aquatic ecosystem conditions.

Keywords *: Rotifera, Copepoda, Cladocera, Diversity, Zooplankton and chondi, Zooplankton, freshwater ecosystem, biodiversity, Rotifera, Cladocera, Copepoda, plankton net, aquatic ecology, bioindicator.*

Introduction

The freshwater zooplankton is comprised of three major groups of invertebrate animals: Rotifers, Copepods and Cladocerans occurring abundantly in all types of aquatic habitats and plays a vital role in energy transfer in an aquatic ecosystem and act as bio-indicators of pollution. It occupies an intermediate position in food web many of them feed upon bacteria and algae and in turn fed by numerous invertebrates, fishes and birds.

Zooplankton diversity and their ecology greatly contribute to as understanding of the basic nature and general economy of aquatic habitats. Physico-chemical factors also regulate zooplankton population in water body. Various researchers carried out work to study the zooplanktons of different fresh water bodies. Jayabhaye (2010), studied zooplankton diversity of river Kayadhu, near Hingoli city Maharashtra. Tayade and Dabhade (2011) studied the rotifer community around Washim region and prepared a checklist of Rotifers in Washim region. Study of qualitative diversity of rotifer community of freshwater Katepurna reservoir, district Akola, Maharashtra, (India) was carried out by Pawar and Dabhade (2016).

Zooplankton diversity reflects the water quality and they are the good indicators of changes taking place in the water resources. Kabra et.al. (2016), carried out a research work to analyze zooplanktons of Fresh water ecosystem in Washim town, Maharashatra, India. The Biodiversity of aquatic life conservation is an important task because day to day pollution is increasing and their direct effect is on aquatic life. In present days, the biodiversity is in danger due to pollution and human activities. Conservation of biodiversity is essential so it is compulsory to keep update knowledge of every aquatic species diversity. The density of planktons in water body determines stocking rate of fishes because they are the chief sources of the food of commercially important

fishes as well as development in production of inland fishery sector. The presence and dominance of zooplankton species played a very significant role in the functioning of freshwater ecosystem. Therefore, present investigation was undertaken to study zooplankton diversity chondi dam freshwater body in Buldhana District of Maharashtra, India with following aims and objectives-

Objectives

- To collect zooplankton from a freshwater body.
- To identify the major groups of zooplankton.
- To study their abundance and diversity.
- To understand their ecological importance.
- To assess their possible usefulness as indicators of aquatic environmental conditions.

Materials and Methods

Materials Required

- Plankton net
- Sample bottles
- Bucket or water sampler
- Compound microscope
- Glass slides and cover slips
- Dropper
- Sedgewick-Rafter counting chamber, if available
- 4% formalin or another appropriate laboratory preservative
- Labels and marker
- Identification keys or reference guides

Sample Collection

Water samples were collected from the selected freshwater body. A plankton net of suitable mesh size was used to concentrate zooplankton from the water. The collected sample was transferred into labelled sample bottles and preserved for microscopic examination.

Plankton-net sampling and microscopic examination are commonly used approaches for zooplankton studies. Sampling design can influence the organisms collected because factors such as organism behaviour, water conditions and vertical distribution can affect sampling efficiency.

Microscopic Examination

A small quantity of the concentrated sample was placed on a clean glass slide and examined under a compound microscope. Zooplankton were identified on the basis of their morphological characteristics using standard identification references. For quantitative analysis, a known volume of sample can be examined using a Sedgewick-Rafter chamber, with replicate observations where possible. Similar approaches have been used in freshwater zooplankton studies.

In present investigation water samples were collected from chondi dam water body in July 2024 to June 2025**.** The water was collected directly from each selected sampling station of chondi Dam. The samples were transferred to the bottle and brought to the laboratory without disturbances. The water samples were collected by monthly intervals from the sampling stations for a period of one year. The samples were collected during morning hours with the help of net of mesh size 25 micron as well as net numbers 25 bolting silk cloth. Plankton net acts as a filter, it is the most common method for collection of zooplanktons.

The concentrated zooplankton samples were carefully transferred to another container. 5 ml of 4% formalin, 2 to 3 drops of glycerine's were added to it. A pinch of detergent powder was also added to avoid the aggregation of zooplankton. Samples were collected in separate glass phials with label containing name of site, date of sampling, time of sampling, etc. Identification of zooplankton was done with the help of a compound microscope. A dissecting microscope is also used for sorting and counting. Specimens were mounted on glass slides and examined at 25-100X magnification, with its standard identification and its monographs as well as keys which were suggested by APHA (1985); Tonapi (1980); Dodson and Frey (1991) and Williamson (1991) and following the systematic key by Battish (1992) and Altaff (2004).

Main characters considered for identification are lorica, type of trophi for rotifers; antennules, post abdomen, number and arrangement of spines, location of lateral setae and rostrum for cladocera; antennules, antenna, caudal setae, and endopodite for copepoda and antenna, valve shape and setae for ostracods used by Sontakke and Mokashe (2014).

Population density was quantified by Drop count method of Lackey (1938) and was calculated using the following formula of Lackey (1938):

$N = n \times v / V$

Where,

N = Total no. of organisms/ lit of water filtered,

n = Number of zooplankton counted in 1 ml plankton sample,

v = Volume of concentrate plankton sample (ml),

V= Volume of total water filtered through (L)

Results and Discussion

During present investigation we found total 09 species of zooplankton. Among which 06 species belonging to Rotifera, 01 species belonging to Copepoda,02 species belonging to Cladocera were identified. Rotifers identified were -1.Ascomorpha saltans (Bartsch, 1870),2. Brachionus calyciflourus (Pallas, 1834),3. Brachionus bidentata (Jokubsky, 1912),4. Lecane leontina (Turner, 1892),5. Trichotria tetractis (Ehrenberg, 1830) and 6.Trichocerca pusilla (Jennings, 1903). 01 species Copepoda, Moina micrura (Kurz, 1874) and 02 species belonging to Cladocera,1. Ceriodaphnia quadrangular (Müller, 1785) and 2. Diaphanosoma birgei (Korineck 1981) were identified from chondi Dam freshwater reservoir body.

All species are morphologically different. Monthly diversity of zooplankton (Rotifera) population density recorded in summer month was 465 org/10 liter of water and less in July to December was 235 org/10 liters of water. The number of Rotifers increased in summer which may be due to the higher population of bacteria and organic matter of dead and decaying vegetation (Majagi and Vijaykumar, 2009).

Copepoda population recorded in chondi dam during study period was 10 org/10 liters of water and less recorded in July to December was 06 org/10 liters of water and also Cladocera zooplankton population density recorded in January to April 2024 was 53 org/10 liters of water and less in the month of July to December 2025 was 15org/10liters (Table.1). Various researchers carried out work on biodiversity of zooplankton. Sharma and Srivastava (1986), carried out work on ecological fluctuations of Rotifers. Shayestchfar (1995), studied biodiversity of zooplankton. Jindal and Thakur (2009), studied composition and population dynamics of phytoplankton, zooplankton, nekton and productivity have been correlated with seasonal variations in physico-chemical characteristics of water.

Solanki and Dabhade (2016), studied the Rotifer communities in upper Morna reservoir of Medshi, Washim district and observed 18 species of Rotifera belonging to 6 genera and 5 families among which Brachionus species was found in highest number. Dabhade and Chhaba (2019), also studied zooplankton diversity around Washim region of Maharashtra and recorded different 27 zooplankton species from the different sampling sites of Washim region comprising of 11 species of Rotifera, 06 Copepods, 09 Cladocera and 1 Ostracoda. The community structure of zooplankton showed a mixed composition of mesotrophic to eutrophic species.

The distribution of various species depended on the physico-chemical parameters of water (Tonapi G.J.1980) such as temperature, conductivity, pH, chloride, and free CO2 content. During the present study, among all groups of zooplanktons, the Rotifers were found dominant in all groups. Similar results were previously observed by many researchers (Banerjee et al. (2008), Abdullah et al.(2007),Adeyemi et al.(2009), APHA (1989), Balamurugan et al. (1999)

Phylum	Genera	July.	Aug.	Sep.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.
Rotifera	Ascomorpha saltans.(Bartsch, 1870).	08	10	09	10	09	10
	Brachionus calyciflourus (Pallas, 1834)	08	10	06	10	10	07
	Brachionus bidentata (Jokubsky, 1912)	06	08	05	09	05	09
	Lecane leontina (Turner, 1892)	05	06	03	04	05	06
	Trichotria tetractis (Ehrenberg,1830)	04	03	02	02	05	07
	Trichocerca pusilla (Jennings,1903)	03	03	05	06	08	10
Copepoda	**Genera**	**July**	**Aug.**	**Sep.**	**Oct.**	**Nov.**	**Dec.**
	Moina micrura (Kurz,1874)	01	02	03	02	03	04
Cladocera	**Genera**	**July**	**Aug.**	**Sep.**	**Oct.**	**Nov.**	**Dec.**
	Ceriodaphnia quadrangular (muller,1785)	01	02	03	02	01	02
	Diaphanosoma birgei (Korineck 1981)	……	01	…….	01	……	02
	Monthly diversity in Zooplankton of chondi dam from July 2024 to Dec. 2024						
Rotifera	**Genera**	**Jan**	**Feb.**	**Mar**	**Apr.**	**May**	**Jun.**
	Ascomorpha saltans.(Bartsch, 1870).	04	10	19	21	17	10
	Brachionus calyciflourus (pallas, 1834)	05	08	15	23	19	12
	Brachionus bidentata (Jokubsky,1912)	10	06	11	18	11	07
	Lecane leontina (Turner,1892)	07	06	12	15	14	11
	Trichotria tetractis (Ehrenberg.1830)	05	04	09	13	15	10
	Trichocerca pusilla (Jennings,1903)	02	06	05	23	10	02
Copepoda	**Genera**	**Jan.**	**Feb.**	**Mar.**	**Apr.**	**May**	**Jun.**
	Moina micrura (Kurz,1874)	01	01	01	02	02	01
Cladocera	**Genera**	**Jan**	**Feb.**	**Mar**	**Apr.**	**May**	**Jun.**
	Ceriodaphnia quadrangular (Muller,1785)	02	02	03	05	08	07
	Diaphanosoma birgei (Korineck 1981)	01	03	03	07	09	05

Table: 1 Monthly diversity in Zooplankton of chondi dam from Jan 2025 to Jun. 2025

Rotifera	**225**
Copepoda	**15**
Cladocera	**46**
Zooplankton Population Density (org/10 lit) in Jan 2025 to Jun. 2025	
Rotifera	**362**
Copepoda	**08**
Cladocera	**55**

Table 2: Zooplankton Population Density (org/10 lit) in July 2024 to Dec.2024

Discussion

Zooplankton occupy an important position in aquatic food webs. They transfer energy from primary producers to larger aquatic organisms and contribute to nutrient cycling. The presence of several zooplankton groups indicates that the water body provides suitable conditions for aquatic microscopic animals.

Changes in zooplankton composition may reflect changes in environmental conditions. Studies of freshwater zooplankton therefore have applications in biodiversity assessment and ecological monitoring. Traditional microscopic identification remains important, while modern approaches such as DNA metabarcoding and automated imaging can provide additional information about zooplankton biodiversity.

Conclusion

The present investigation reveals that the diversity of zooplankton plays very significant role in the functioning of freshwater ecosystem. We recorded 09 species of zooplankton among which 06 species belonging to Rotifera, 01 species belonging to Copepoda, and 02 species belonging to Cladocera. The Diversity and population of zooplanktons in water provided significant information about the available sources for supporting life for fishery development. In present days, the biodiversity is in danger due to pollution and human activities. So, Conservation of biodiversity is essential so it is compulsory to keep update knowledge of every aquatic species diversity.

The density of planktons in water body determined stocking rate of fishes because they were the chief sources of the food of commercially important fishes as well as development in production of inland fishery sector. The presence and dominance of zooplankton species played a very significant role in the functioning of freshwater ecosystem.

References

[1] Abdullahi H.A, Azionu B.C, Ajayi O. (2007). Checklist of zooplankton in culture tanks at NIFFRI Green House, New Bussa. Proceedings of the 22nd Annual Conference of Fisheries Society of Nigeria (FISON), Kebbi. 1:284-90.

[2] Adeyemi S.O, Adikwu L.A, Akombu P.M, Iyela J.T. (2009). Survey of zooplankton and macro invertebrates of Gbedikere Lake Bassa, Kogi State, Nigeria. Int. J. Salt Lake Res. 2(1):37-44.

[3] APHA. (1989). Standard methods for the examination water and waste water American public health Association 19th Edition Washington, U.S.A.,

[4] Altaff K. A.(2004): Mannual of zooplankton, Sponsored by the University Grant commission, New Delhi, 2004.

[5] Battish S. K.(1992): Freshwater Zooplankton of India. Oxford and IBH Publishing Co. Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi, i-iv, 233.

[6] Balamurugan S, Mohideen BMG, Subramanyam P.(1999): Biodiversity of zooplankton in Cauveri River at Tirucherapalli, Tamilnadu. J. Aqua. Bio. 14(142):21-25.

[7] Benarjee G.K, Srikanth G, Ramu K, Narasimha R, Ravinder B. (2008): The Climatic Influence on Zooplanktonic Population in Historical Lake of Kakatiya Dynasty. Proc. of 8th Indian Fisheries Forum, 22-26.

[8] Dabhade D.S, Chhaba S.G. (2019): Zooplankton diversity around washim region of Maharashtra. International Journal of Advance and Innovative Research. Volume 6, Issue 2 (II):332-336.

[9] Dodson S.I, Frey DG. Cladoceran and other Branchiopoda in Thorp, J.H., and A.P. Covich (eds.) (1991): Ecology and classification of North American freshwater invertebrates. Academic Press, San Diego, 723-786.

[10] Jayabhaye, U.M. (2010):Studies on zooplankton diversity of river Kayadhu, Near Hingoli city, Hingoli, Dist. Maharashtra. Int.Res.J.; 11(12): 47-49.

[11] Jindal R, Thakur R. (2009): Biodiversity and trophic stataus in relation to hydrobiological factors of Rewalsar wetland (District Mandi, Himachal Pradesh) India. J. Aqua. Biol. Vol. 24 (2):50-56.

[12] Kabra, P.D,Somatkar J.R, Dabhade D.S.(2016): Quantitative analysis of zooplanktons of fresh water ecosystems in Washim town, Maharashtra, India. Indian Streams Research Journal. 6 (5): 1-11.

[13] Lackey J. B.(1938) : The manipulation and counting of river of river plankton and changes in some organisms due to formalin preservation. Public Health Repts. 53: 2080-2093.
[14] Pawar R.J, Dabhade D.S. (2016) : Study of Qualitative diversity of rotifer community of freshwater katepurna reservoir, district Akola, Maharashtra, I J R B A T, , Vol. IV : 23-47.
[15] Solanke M.R, Dabhade D.S.(2016) : Study of rotifer communities in upper Morna reservoir, Medshi, District Washim. International journal of applied research. 2(12): 99-102.
[16] Sontakke, Mokashe.(2014): Diversity of zooplankton in Dekhu reservoir from Aurangabad, Maharashtra Journal of Applied and Natural Science. 6(1):131-133.
[17] Tayade, S.N. Dabhade D.S. (2011) : Checklist of rotifers in Washim District of Maharashtra,India. International Journal of Innovations in Bio-Sciences; Vol. 1, 27-31
[18] Tonapi G.J. (1980) : Freshwater animals of India. An ecological approach, Oxford and IBH, 1980.
[19] Williamson, Copepoda C.E.(1991) : In Thorp, J.H., and A.P. Covich (eds.) Ecology and classification of North American freshwater invertebrates. Academic Press, San Diego., 787-822.
[20] Xiong, W., Huang, X., Chen, Y., Fu, R., Du, X., Chen, X. & Zhan, A. (2019). Zooplankton biodiversity monitoring in polluted freshwater ecosystems: A technical review. Environmental Science and Ecotechnology, 1, 100008.
[21] Wetzel's Limnology, Fourth Edition. Chapter 19: Zooplankton Communities: Diversity in Time and Space. Elsevier, 2024.
[22] Suzuki, H., Osugi, T. & Ichiyanagi, H. (2026). Evaluation of net and bottle sampling methods for zooplankton collection in reservoirs. Landscape and Ecological Engineering, 22, 373–382.
[23] Zooplankton functional diversity as a bioindicator of freshwater ecosystem health across land-use gradients. (2024). Scientific Reports.