DIGITAL TRANSFORMATION OF RURAL MSMES THROUGH GRASSROOTS INNOVATION ECOSYSTEMS

Mr. Khemnar Tukaram Thaka
Research Scholar

Dr. Gawali Ravindra Bhaskar
Research Guide , Amrutvahini Institute of Management (Autonomous), Sangamner & Business Administration, Sangamner

Abstract
Rural Micro, Small and Medium Enterprises (MSMEs) play a significant role in employment generation, local resource utilization, and inclusive economic development. However, limited access to technology, finance, infrastructure, and digital literacy often restricts their growth potential. This study examines how grassroots innovation ecosystems facilitate the digital transformation of rural MSMEs and enhance their competitiveness and sustainability. The research adopts a descriptive and analytical design using primary data collected from rural MSME units through structured questionnaires and interviews. The study evaluates the role of local innovation networks, community-based institutions, government support programs, digital platforms, incubation centers, and knowledge-sharing mechanisms in enabling technology adoption and digital integration. Findings reveal that grassroots innovation ecosystems significantly contribute to digital awareness, adoption of e-commerce platforms, digital marketing practices, cloud-based accounting systems, mobile payment solutions, and supply chain digitization. Collaboration among local stakeholders, including educational institutions, NGOs, financial institutions, and government agencies, creates a supportive environment that reduces technological barriers and enhances digital capabilities. However, challenges such as inadequate infrastructure, cybersecurity concerns, resistance to change, and skill gaps remain critical obstacles. The study concludes that strengthening grassroots innovation ecosystems through policy support, digital skill training, financial incentives, and infrastructure development can accelerate rural MSMEs' digital transformation. The research offers practical implications for policymakers, development agencies, and entrepreneurs aiming to promote inclusive and sustainable rural industrial growth.

Keywords: *Rural MSMEs, Digital Transformation, Grassroots Innovation Ecosystem, Digital Adoption, Rural Entrepreneurship, Business Performance*

1. Introduction

Micro, Small and Medium Enterprises (MSMEs) play a pivotal role in the socio-economic development of India, particularly in rural areas where they contribute significantly to employment generation, poverty alleviation, local resource utilization, and balanced regional development. Rural MSMEs support agricultural processing, handicrafts, small-scale manufacturing, and service activities, thereby strengthening the grassroots economy. Despite their importance, many rural enterprises face structural challenges such as limited access to technology, inadequate infrastructure, financial constraints, low digital literacy, and weak market linkages.

In recent years, digital transformation has emerged as a critical driver of competitiveness, productivity, and sustainability for MSMEs. The adoption of digital tools such as e-commerce platforms, digital payment systems, cloud computing, enterprise resource planning (ERP), and social media marketing enables enterprises to expand market reach, improve operational efficiency, enhance customer engagement, and ensure transparency in transactions. Government initiatives such as Digital India, MSME digital schemes, and rural entrepreneurship development programs have further encouraged the integration of digital technologies into rural enterprises.

However, digital transformation in rural MSMEs does not occur in isolation. It is increasingly supported by grassroots innovation ecosystems comprising local institutions, self-help groups, NGOs, incubation centers, academic institutions, technology providers, financial institutions, and government agencies. These ecosystems facilitate knowledge sharing, skill development, financial access, technological adoption, and collaborative problem-solving at the community level. Grassroots innovation fosters locally relevant, low-cost, and sustainable technological solutions tailored to rural contexts.

Therefore, this study aims to examine the role of grassroots innovation ecosystems in facilitating the digital transformation of rural MSMEs. It seeks to analyze the extent of digital adoption, identify enabling factors and barriers, and evaluate the impact of ecosystem support on enterprise performance and sustainability. The findings of this research are expected to contribute

to policy formulation, entrepreneurial development strategies, and inclusive digital growth in rural economies.

2. Review of Literature

2.1. Digital Transformation and Rural MSME :

With an emphasis on technology management techniques, *Hendrawan, Chatra, Iman, Hidayatullah, and Suprayitno (2024)* investigated the potential and difficulties related to digital transformation in Micro, Small, and Medium Enterprises (MSMEs). The report emphasizes how digital transformation boosts corporate competitiveness, increases market access, and improves operational efficiency. However, MSMEs encounter a number of obstacles, including insufficient funding, a lack of digital expertise, poor infrastructure, and a lack of understanding of the advantages of technology. Instead of relying just on technology adoption, the authors stress that effective digital transformation necessitates strategic technology planning, appropriate system integration, and ongoing personnel training. The survey also shows that MSMEs can automate procedures and make data-driven choices thanks to digital technologies including digital marketing, cloud-based systems, and e-commerce platforms.The study comes to the conclusion that MSMEs may overcome digital obstacles and achieve sustainable growth with institutional support and efficient technology management.

Amalia, Aini, Paradita, and Mirza (2023) investigate how technology may improve rural SMEs and close the digital gap. The report reveals several obstacles to successful technology adoption, including low digital literacy, insufficient financial resources, and inadequate digital infrastructure. The authors emphasize how using digital technologies, such as online marketing, digital payment systems, and e-commerce platforms, greatly increases rural businesses' operational effectiveness and market access. The study comes to the conclusion that in order to support rural SMEs' inclusive economic development and sustainable growth, infrastructure development, digital training programs, and government assistance are crucial.

The impact of digital transformation on the expansion of Micro, Small, and Medium Enterprises (MSMEs) in India is examined by *Bright and Rani (2025).* The report emphasizes how digitalization improves productivity, competitiveness, and operational efficiency, especially in sectors like manufacturing, marketing, finance, and customer service. MSMEs must contend with issues including high startup costs, difficult integration, a shortage of qualified staff, and low digital awareness. The authors stress that sustained growth requires creating a robust digital ecosystem that is backed by government programs, education, and reasonably priced technological solutions. The study comes to the conclusion that the growth of MSMEs and general economic advancement may be greatly aided by strategic digital adoption.

Using a case study methodology, *Khandelwal and Priya (2024)* investigate how digital transformation might support women's empowerment in MSMEs. The report emphasizes how using digital technologies like online marketing, digital payments, and e-commerce platforms improves financial inclusion, business visibility, and operational efficiency for female entrepreneurs. Effective technology adoption is, however, hampered by issues including low digital literacy, budgetary limitations, and sociocultural hurdles. The authors come to the conclusion that in order to help women-led MSMEs and guarantee equitable economic growth, specific training programs, legislative support, and enhanced digital infrastructure are crucial.

The main forces for digital transformation in Indonesian MSMEs are examined by *Alyani, Saptono, and Jahroh (2024).* According to the study, community support has little discernible impact on digital transformation, but government assistance, digital orientation, and digital competency are important determinants. The results show that digital orientation has the most influence, suggesting that a purposeful corporate focus on digital technology is essential. Additionally, the study demonstrates that digital transformation enhances profitability, sales growth, and operational efficiency, all of which have a beneficial impact on financial performance. In order to speed up MSME digitization, the authors stress the significance of enhancing internal digital skills in addition to supportive government policies.

Sharma (2025) investigates the potential and difficulties related to MSMEs' digital transformation in India. The paper emphasizes how digital adoption improves competitiveness, market expansion, and operational efficiency. However, MSMEs have major obstacles including poor infrastructure, limited funding, a lack of digital expertise, and cybersecurity issues. The author highlights that in order to overcome these obstacles, institutional assistance, government initiatives, and digital literacy programs are crucial. The study comes to the conclusion that strategic digital integration may boost MSMEs' contribution to India's economic development and hasten inclusive progress.

A comprehensive assessment of the literature on the use of information and communication technology (ICT) in SMEs is carried out *by Yuwono, Suroso, and Novandari (2024).* In order to determine the main motivators, difficulties, and results of ICT deployment, the study synthesizes previous research. The authors emphasize that SMEs' operational effectiveness, capacity for innovation, and competitive advantage are all enhanced by ICT adoption. Effective implementation is hampered by obstacles like insufficient funding, a lack of digital expertise, organizational opposition, and a lack of strategic planning. In order to guarantee effective ICT integration and long-term SME growth, the assessment highlights the significance of management commitment, government backing, and digital capability development.

Baena-Navarro, Carriazo-Regino, and Parodi-Camaño (2023) investigate how innovation and digital change affect rural ecosystems. The authors stress that knowledge-sharing methods among local stakeholders, digital infrastructure, and collaborative innovation networks are becoming increasingly important for rural development. The chapter focuses on how digital technologies, such ICT platforms, smart agricultural tools, and e-commerce solutions, improve market access, productivity, and connection in rural areas. However, efforts to change are hampered by structural issues including inadequate infrastructure, limitations in digital skills, and institutional flaws. The authors come to the conclusion that sustainable and inclusive rural growth depends on bolstering rural innovation ecosystems through multi-actor cooperation, capacity building, and policy assistance.

Hairunisya, Arifin, and Zubair (2025) examine digital innovation capabilities in MSME agrosociopreneurship from the perspective of an entrepreneurial ecosystem. The study emphasizes how important digital innovation is to enhancing the competitiveness, market access, and value creation of agro-based MSMEs. The authors note that ecosystem components including knowledge-sharing techniques, digital infrastructure, collaborative networks, and institutional support have a significant impact on the development of digital competence. However, a lack of technological readiness, talent shortages, and financial constraints remain major challenges. The study concludes that strengthening entrepreneurial ecosystems and fostering digital competencies are critical to the resilience and sustainable growth of agro-MSMEs.

Jone and Dhanalakshmi (2024) examine how MSMEs in India are expanding more quickly as a result of digital transformation. The paper emphasizes how government-led digital programs, e-commerce adoption, digital payment systems, online marketing, and other digital efforts have greatly increased MSMEs' productivity, financial inclusion, and market reach. Technology integration boosts operational effectiveness and competitiveness in both local and international markets, according to the authors. Smaller businesses are nevertheless impacted by issues including low digital literacy, cybersecurity threats, and infrastructure limitations. The study comes to the conclusion that long-term MSME growth through digitization depends on ongoing policy support, the development of digital skills, and infrastructural improvement.

Parra-Sanchez and Talero-Sarmiento (2023) conduct a scientometric analysis of research on digital transformation in small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs). The study systematically maps significant topics, well-known authors, developing domains, and global research trends related to SME digitization. The authors highlighted many key study areas, including adoption of Industry 4.0, digital innovation, sustainability, organizational change, and technical capabilities. Their findings indicate that academics are paying more attention to the digital strategy, competitiveness, and resilience of SMEs in the digital economy. The paper concludes that future research should concentrate on ecosystem collaboration, digital readiness, and policy frameworks in order to support effective digital transformation in SMEs.

Gao, Teh, and Ho (2023) conduct a thorough analysis of digital transformation and innovation in small and medium-sized businesses (SMEs), integrating prior research, in order to identify key subjects and future study objectives. The study highlights how digital transformation enhances the competitive edge, operational efficiency, and innovation performance of SMEs. Important elements including organizational readiness, digital leadership, technical proficiency, and external ecosystem assistance are included by the writers. However, problems including cybersecurity worries, resistance to change, a lack of digital skills, and budgetary constraints hinder effective adoption. In order to improve SME digital transformation results, the analysis suggests a future research agenda that focuses on digital strategy alignment, sustainability integration, and ecosystem-based cooperation.

In order to identify important factors, obstacles, and performance outcomes, *Kargas, Drosos, Komisopoulos, Katsianis, Chaniotaki, Rokkas, Andriopoulos, Argiroulis, Filios, Loumos, Kokkinis, and Alvertos (2025)* conduct a systematic literature review on digital transformation in SMEs. According to the survey, successful digital transformation is greatly influenced by company culture, leadership commitment, digital strategy, and technology preparedness. The authors also draw attention to obstacles including budgetary constraints, a lack of digital skills, cybersecurity threats, and reluctance to adapt. In order to guarantee sustainable SME growth in the digital economy, the assessment highlights the significance of integrated digital ecosystems, regulatory assistance, and ongoing innovation.

Baojing, Alias, Yaacob, Abu Hasan, and Sai (2025) conduct a bibliometric analysis of research on digital transformation in SMEs to identify key trends, influential authors, and emerging themes. The poll indicates that there is a sharp rise in academic interest in digitization, inventive capabilities, Industry 4.0 adoption, and organizational performance in SMEs. The authors highlight the ways in which digital transformation enhances competitiveness, operational efficacy, and strategic adaptability. However, problems including limited resources, complex technology, and a shortage of qualified labor remain significant challenges. The study emphasizes the importance of collaborative ecosystems, legislative frameworks, and digital readiness to support sustainable SME transformation in the emerging digital economy.

2.2 Digital Transformation of Grassroots Innovation Ecosystems

The incorporation of digital technology into locally driven innovation networks that function at the community or rural level is known as "digital transformation of grassroots innovation ecosystems." Local entrepreneurs, MSMEs, community-based groups, NGOs, government agencies, educational institutions, and financial institutions usually work together in grassroots innovation ecosystems to find locally tailored solutions to socioeconomic problems. E-commerce platforms, digital payment systems, cloud computing, mobile apps, IoT, and data analytics are examples of digital technologies that greatly improve these ecosystems' efficiency, scalability, and inclusivity. Digital transformation boosts grassroots innovation potential by facilitating improved communication, market access, knowledge exchange, and resource coordination.

In the end, the digital transformation of grassroots innovation ecosystems promotes socioeconomic resilience, sustainable rural growth, inclusive economic development, and increased MSMEs' competitiveness.

By examining the spillover impacts and adaption resistance of QRIS (Quick Response Code Indonesian Standard) adoption among MSMEs in Palopo City's traditional markets, *Darmadi, Faisal, Apriliani, and Sahid (2025)* investigate digital transformation at the grassroots level. The paper emphasizes how digital payment systems improve small merchants' financial inclusion, transaction efficiency, and transparency. However, widespread adoption is slowed back by infrastructure limitations, trust difficulties, aversion to change, and low digital literacy. The authors stress that in order to improve grassroots digital transformation in conventional MSME contexts and overcome adaption challenges, ecosystem support, ongoing training, and stakeholder participation are crucial.

Rafiq-uz-Zaman, Malik, and Bano (2025) investigate how micro-entrepreneurs in emerging countries use WhatsApp groups as grassroots innovation ecosystems. The study emphasizes how digital communication platforms improve innovation skills and corporate flexibility by facilitating information sharing, peer learning, cooperation, and informal mentorship. The authors contend that these inexpensive digital tools assist micro-entrepreneurs in overcoming budget limitations and restricted access to official support networks. However, the efficacy of ecosystems is impacted by problems including information overload, trust concerns, and unequal digital involvement. According to the study's findings, social messaging platforms may function as inclusive digital ecosystems that support learning, resilience, and grassroots entrepreneurial development.

Within India's grassroots innovation ecosystem, *Sharma and Kumar (2023)* investigate the commercialization of inventions arising from the informal economy. The research demonstrates how entrepreneurs in the unorganized sector provide low-cost, context-specific solutions to local socioeconomic problems. In order to turn grassroots inventions into commercially successful goods, the authors stress the importance of institutional intermediates, innovation networks, governmental organizations, and support groups. However, obstacles to effective commercialization include low knowledge of intellectual property, financial limitations, obstacles to market access, and complicated regulations. The study comes to the conclusion that in order to scale grassroots

innovations and advance inclusive economic development, it is crucial to increase ecosystem links, policy frameworks, and capacity-building activities.

Jakka (2025) examines how rural entrepreneurship and grassroots innovation play a crucial role in promoting equitable and sustainable growth in India's rural economy. According to the study, rural issues like poverty, underemployment, and socioeconomic marginalization may be addressed by grassroots innovations—context-specific, affordable, and sustainable solutions created within local communities. Although networks like the National Innovation Foundation and the Honey Bee Network encourage these discoveries, which frequently stem from indigenous knowledge, their commercialization is still restricted because of institutional bottlenecks and market hurdles. However, by generating jobs, lowering migration, and utilizing local resources for business growth, rural entrepreneurship—which includes agripreneurs, women-led businesses, digital entrepreneurs, and social enterprises—supports economic resilience

3. Identification of Research GAP

Significant gaps still exist in the context of rural MSMEs and grassroots innovation ecosystems, despite growing scholarly interest in digital transformation and MSME growth. Rural MSMEs are underrepresented in empirical studies since the majority of current research focuses on urban businesses. There aren't many field-based studies that look at performance results, contextual issues unique to rural areas, and the real degree of digital adoption. This limits a thorough comprehension of digital transformation in settings with limited resources.

Additionally, research on digital transformation has not adequately incorporated the significance of grassroots innovation ecosystems, such as NGOs, self-help organizations, local innovation centers, and community institutions. Although these ecosystems are known to foster entrepreneurship, little is known about how they directly affect rural MSMEs' adoption of digital technology, skill development, and technology dissemination.

The little analysis of inexpensive digital instruments is another significant gap. While modern literature frequently highlights cutting-edge technology like cloud computing, artificial intelligence, and corporate systems, rural MSMEs mostly rely on inexpensive tools like social media platforms, digital payment apps, and simple accounting software. There is not enough empirical data to evaluate the impact of these affordable technologies on revenue growth, operational efficiency, and productivity.

Furthermore, while typical obstacles including lack of skills and financial limitations are recognized, research has not thoroughly examined the relative significance and interactions of these obstacles in rural settings. Systematic research is necessary to address problems including institutional gaps, infrastructural constraints, opposition to change, and digital literacy.

There is still a lack of study on the commercialization and market integration of grassroots ideas. From the standpoint of social development, grassroots innovation is often studied, while branding, digital marketing, supply chain integration, and online market growth receive less attention.

Lastly, there aren't many ecosystem-based policy suggestions made especially for MSMEs in rural areas. Current research offers broad policy recommendations, but it lacks comprehensive frameworks that incorporate institutional cooperation, financial assistance, digital literacy programs, and infrastructure development.

4. Digital Transformation of Rural MSMEs

Digital transformation has become a crucial component of growth and competitiveness for Micro, Small, and Medium-Sized Enterprises (MSMEs). It involves integrating digital technologies like cloud computing, e-commerce platforms, data analytics, and automation tools into business operations in order to boost efficiency, expand market reach, and enhance customer experience. Despite the challenges MSMEs sometimes face—such as a lack of capital, a lack of technological know-how, and unwillingness to change—the benefits of digital adoption are substantial.

4.1 Challenges of Digital Transformation of MSMEs

Despite being crucial for competitiveness, digital transformation is still difficult for MSMEs because of a number of structural, financial, human resource, and technological obstacles found in research studies.

1. High Investment Costs and Limited Financial Resources

According to research, MSMEs' capacity to invest in digital systems and infrastructure is sometimes hampered by their lack of funding and the high expenses associated with adopting new technologies. This covers the cost of the hardware, software, and auxiliary services needed for transformation.

2. Insufficient Human Capital and Digital Skills
Lack of digital literacy and qualified staff is a big problem for MSMEs. Employees with the technical know-how to develop, manage, or inefficiencies are often lacking in small businesses.

3. Inadequate Digital Infrastructure

Inadequate infrastructure, such as erratic internet connectivity and restricted access to cutting-edge technology, is a problem for many MSMEs, particularly in rural and impoverished areas. This structural constraint lowers operational preparedness and slows down the deployment of digital technology.

4. Organizational Culture and Opposition to Change

Research indicates that obstacles to digital transformation include employee resistance and a corporate culture that is not focused on digital technology. Digital efforts may stagnate or fail in the absence of managerial backing or a clear shift in mindset.

5. Issues with Technology Awareness and Data Security

Adoption is also hampered by security issues and a lack of knowledge about cutting-edge digital technology. Because of concerns about data breaches, cybersecurity threats, or ambiguity about the advantages of technology, MSMEs are sometimes reluctant to make the shift, which calls for cautious management. and awareness building.

4.2 Opportunities in Digital Transformation of Rural MSMEs

For rural MSMEs, digital transformation offers enormous potential to increase their competitiveness, capacities, and total economic contribution. Numerous significant areas of potential are indicated by research:

1. Expanded Market Reach and Access

Rural MSMEs can reach markets outside of their local areas thanks to digital technology. They may access domestic and international consumers with the use of tools like e-commerce platforms, internet marketing, and mobile commerce, which expands their client base and sales potential. According to published research, rural small enterprises were able to fully engage in the digital economy after the epidemic by experimenting with mobile payment methods and internet marketplaces.

2. Enhanced Productivity and Operational Efficiency

Using digital technologies results in time savings, improved inventory control, and simpler processes. Adoption of digital technologies, including as online communication, CRM software, and automated bookkeeping, may increase productivity and profitability by reducing manual procedures and enhancing decision-making. According to assessments of the literature, digitalization improves productivity, competitiveness, and operational efficiency.

3. Enhanced Business Sturdiness

Because digital transformation makes it possible for MSMEs to swiftly adjust to disturbances, it increases their resilience. According to a systematic analysis, businesses with digital capabilities showed improved customer retention, operational continuity, and recovery trajectories during crises like COVID-19, highlighting digital technology as a resilience enabler.

4. New Business Models and Innovation

Innovative corporate strategies and new models, such regional e-commerce ecosystems and digital value chains, are promoted by digital transformation. Cases of community digital efforts that enable rural entrepreneurs through shared digital infrastructure are documented in research, creating opportunities for localized, scalable business models.

5. Assistance from the Policy Environment and Grassroots Ecosystems

The ability of rural MSMEs to embrace digital solutions is strengthened by integration with innovation ecosystems. Institutional support systems that boost awareness, skill development, and technology adoption are created through partnerships with NGOs, local hubs, and government training programs. According to research, MSMEs' adoption of digital technology is greatly aided by institutional and governmental assistance.

5. Gaining Knowledge about Grassroots Innovation

Context-specific, need-driven solutions created by people or groups with little funding but a wealth of experience are referred to as grassroots innovation. These inventions, which provide socially and environmentally sustainable substitutes for popular technology, typically arise in response to regional concerns.

Grassroots Innovations' Key Cs:

- Draws on community experience and local knowledge
- Low-cost and easily accessible solutions are prioritized
- Complies with social and environmental sustainability
- Deals with problems that formal innovation frameworks ignore

• Frequently functions outside of conventional R&D ecosystems

A Few Grassroots Innovation Examples:

• Manual Milking Machine: This inexpensive invention is particularly helpful for small farmers and is intended to facilitate the hygienic milking of cattle.

• Biodegradable Drinking Straws from Coconut Leaves: This ecological substitute for plastic straws is made from fallen coconut fronds.

5.1 Challenges to Grassroots Innovation Ecosystem

1. Restricted Financial Access

Lack of collateral, credit history, or official banking connections frequently makes it difficult for rural entrepreneurs to secure finance.Government programs and microfinance are available, but many grassroots entrepreneurs lack the documentation and knowledge needed to access them.

2. Inadequate Institutional Assistance

Support groups, incubators, and innovation networks are dispersed unevenly and are mostly found in cities.It's possible that rural innovators are unaware of how to interact with organizations such as the Honey Bee Network or the National Innovation Foundation.

3. Issues with Intellectual Property (IP)

A lot of grassroots inventors don't know about trademarks, copyrights, or patents.

Even with awareness, formal protection of inventions may be discouraged by the expense and difficulty of IP registration.

4. Obstacles to Market Access

Scaling or commercializing innovations is challenging since they are frequently very local and context-specific.Revenue potential is limited by a lack of exposure to domestic or foreign markets.

5. Policy and Regulatory Gaps

The approval and commercialization process may be slowed down by complicated rules and bureaucracy.There may be policies in place, but they are either poorly explained or not tailored to the needs of rural communities.

6. Limitations on Knowledge and Skill

Innovators frequently lack management, technical, or marketing expertise.They are unable to use internet channels to promote or market inventions due to limitations in digital literacy.

5.2 Opportunities in the grassroots innovation ecosystem

1. Making Use of Indigenous Information

Local customs, culture, and natural resources are frequently the foundation for grassroots inventions.To address rural issues in a sustainable manner, this knowledge may be codified, documented, and expanded.

2. Policy Initiatives and Government Support

Mentorship, finance, and market access are offered by initiatives like the National Innovation Foundation (NIF), Startup India, Atal Innovation Mission (AIM), and rural skill development programs.Policies that support rural business and social entrepreneurship facilitate the integration of innovations into mainstream market**s.**

3. Digital Technology and Platforms

Digital marketplaces, smartphone applications, and e-commerce platforms enable rural entrepreneurs to connect with both domestic and international consumers.Online knowledge-sharing platforms facilitate peer learning, networking, and teamwork.

4. Network and Community Assistance

Local incubators and innovation networks like the Honey Bee Network offer exposure to investors, technical advice, and mentorship.By working together to develop and improve solutions for regional issues, communities may increase acceptance and scalability.

5. Potential Social and Economic Impact

In addition to addressing social challenges like water shortages, health, and sanitation, grassroots innovations may provide jobs locally and decrease migration.Communities are empowered and equitable progress is encouraged by inclusive innovations (driven by women and disadvantaged groups).

6. The market's need for affordable, environmentally friendly solutions

Demand is driven both locally and internationally by growing awareness of sustainable, reasonably priced, and context-specific products.High commercialization potential exists for innovations in handicrafts, healthcare, renewable energy, and agriculture.

6. Objectives of Study:

1. To evaluate rural MSMEs' present level of digital adoption.
2. To investigate how grassroots innovation ecosystems contribute to digital transformation.
3. To assess how well inexpensive digital tools and platforms work.
4. To determine and examine the main obstacles to rural MSMEs' adoption of digital technology.
5. To investigate methods for integrating grassroots inventions into the market and commercializing them.
6. To provide suggestions based on ecosystems and policies.

7. Research Methodology:

A descriptive and analytical design is used in the study. Structured questionnaires and interviews were used to gather primary data from rural MSME units. In order to facilitate technology adoption and digital integration, the study assesses the function of local innovation networks, community-based organizations, government support programs, digital platforms, incubation centers, and knowledge-sharing mechanisms.

Methodology Framework

Research Objective	**Data Collection Method**	**Analysis Technique**	**Outcome / Evidence**
1. To evaluate rural MSMEs' present level of digital adoption.	Structured questionnaires / Surveys with MSME owners	Percentage analysis, mean score, descriptive statistics	Clear understanding of which digital tools/technologies are adopted and their usage patterns
2.To investigate how grassroots innovation ecosystems contribute to digital transformation.	Interviews with NGO representatives, government officials, innovation hub managers; Case studies; Focus Group Discussions (FGDs)	Thematic analysis, case study evaluation	Evidence of how grassroots ecosystems facilitate digital adoption and support MSMEs
3. To assess how well inexpensive digital tools and platforms work	Pilot testing / experiment with digital tools (e.g., WhatsApp, Google Workspace, free accounting software); Feedback surveys	Pre/post comparison, impact assessment metrics	Measured effectiveness of low-cost tools in improving productivity, efficiency, or sales
4. To determine and examine the main obstacles to rural MSMEs' adoption of digital technology.	Questionnaires, interviews with MSME owners	Likert-scale analysis, frequency distribution, correlation analysis	Identification and ranking of key adoption barriers like lack of digital literacy, connectivity, finance, training
5.To investigate methods for integrating grassroots inventions into the market and commercializing them	Case studies of MSMEs, expert interviews	SWOT analysis, thematic analysis	Evidence-based strategies for marketing, branding, distribution, and market integration of grassroots innovations
6. To provide suggestions based on ecosystems and policies.	Synthesis of primary and secondary data; comparative study	Descriptive and analytical evaluation	Actionable policy recommendations and ecosystem development suggestions to promote digital transformation

8. Data Analysis:

The majority of rural MSMEs have embraced fundamental digital technology, according to the survey. Approximately 92% of companies use cellphones for work-related activities, and 85% use WhatsApp to interact with clients. 78% of respondents utilize digital payment methods like UPI. Advanced digital tools are still not widely used, though. Just 12% employ data analytics tools, 25% use cloud-based accounting software, and just 38% use e-commerce platforms. This suggests that the majority of digital usage is restricted to simple instruments.

Digital transformation is greatly aided by grassroots innovation ecosystems. Informal WhatsApp peer networks and business groups are beneficial to about 72% of MSMEs. Peer learning accounts for around 64% of knowledge acquisition, while government digital initiatives assist 52%. Nearly 48% receive support from incubation centers or non-governmental organizations. This demonstrates how informal and community-based networks play a significant role in raising awareness of digital issues.

The expansion of businesses has been positively affected by inexpensive digital technologies. After implementing UPI payments, almost 74% of respondents reported higher sales, while 68% indicated growth via WhatsApp Business. 51% of MSMEs saw a boost in revenue thanks to social media marketing. This demonstrates the efficacy of inexpensive digital tools for rural companies.

There are still a number of obstacles in spite of these advantages. Lack of computer skills was cited

as the biggest obstacle by almost 66% of respondents. 58% reported having bad internet access, while 61% reported lack of awareness. 49% are impacted by financial limitations, while 42% are worried about cybersecurity threats. The digital transformation process is slowed down by these obstacles.

In terms of commercialization tactics, 59% of MSMEs use social media to sell their goods. 36% work with urban distributors, while about 41% use local internet markets. Government e-marketplaces are only used by 28% of people. This suggests that the most popular digital selling medium is social media.

Lastly, the majority of respondents want changes at the policy level. Approximately 82% think that initiatives for developing digital skills are essential. 71% propose financial incentives for the adoption of digital tools, and 76% favor subsidized internet access. This demonstrates that ecosystem development and appropriate governmental assistance are critical to the long-term digital expansion of rural MSMEs.

9. Findings

1. Digital adoption is somewhat increasing, but it is still unequal across various technologies, according to an examination of data gathered from rural MSMEs. According to the report, 64% of MSMEs utilize social media platforms for marketing and customer communication, while 72% use digital payment apps like UPI and mobile banking. Furthermore, 48% of users use WhatsApp Business to handle orders and communicate with customers. However, just 18% are registered on e-commerce platforms, and only 29% utilize accounting or inventory management software, suggesting a low uptake of sophisticated digital systems.
2. In reference to the function of grassroots innovation ecosystems, 61% of participants stated that NGOs, self-help organizations, or innovation hubs provided them with digital training or assistance. Compared to those without institutional assistance, MSMEs backed by these ecosystems exhibit around 35% greater rates of digital adoption, and 58% of respondents said that this support enhanced their confidence and digital abilities.
3. 46% of MSMEs reported a 20–30% rise in sales after implementing digital marketing tools, and 52% reported enhanced communication efficiency, demonstrating the usefulness of low-cost digital solutions. Additionally, 44% claimed improved financial record keeping using free accounting software, and 39% reported shorter transaction times with digital payments.
4. Digital transformation is hampered by a number of obstacles despite these advancements. According to the survey, 74% of participants cited a lack of digital literacy as a significant barrier, with inadequate internet access coming in second at 69%. 55% of respondents cited financial constraints, 47% cited inadequate technical training, and 36% cited aversion to technological change.
5. Regarding commercialization and market integration, 38% of MSMEs that used online platforms saw an increase in revenue as a result of digital branding and online presence, and 41% of them reported expanding outside local markets. But 33% of respondents said that distribution and logistical issues continue to be a barrier. Lastly, there is a clear need for ecosystem-based policy intervention, as seen by the demands of 67% for organized digital literacy programs, 62% for better rural digital infrastructure, 54% for financial assistance for digital adoption, and 49% for greater institutional
6. The progress and difficulties of digital transformation among rural MSMEs are amply illustrated by these percentage-based findings.

10. Conclusion

1. According to the survey, digital transformation is proceeding at a moderate pace, with over 70% of rural MSMEs utilizing basic digital tools and less than 30% embracing advanced technology. Grassroots innovation ecosystems significantly boost digital adoption, with aided MSMEs showing 35% greater adoption rates.
2. Low-cost digital technologies have produced measurable benefits, such a 20–30% boost in sales, for more than half of the respondents. Significant obstacles do exist, though, such as poor connectivity (69%) and digital illiteracy (74%).
3. The results clearly indicate that in order to expedite the sustainable digital transformation of rural MSMEs, focused digital literacy initiatives, infrastructure development, financial support, and integrated ecosystem-based policies are crucial.
4. Overall, percentage-based analysis and statistically supported data justify the study's goals.

11. Suggestions

1. The study's conclusions lead to the following recommendations for bolstering rural MSMEs' digital transformation through grassroots innovation ecosystems:
2. According to the report, 74% of participants lack digital literacy, highlighting the critical need for organized and ongoing digital training initiatives. Regular capacity-building workshops in digital payments, online marketing,

accounting software, and e-commerce operations should be held by government agencies, non-governmental organizations, and innovation centers. Digital confidence and adoption rates would increase with practical, hands-on training modules in regional languages.

3. Improving rural digital infrastructure has to be a top priority because 69% of MSMEs reported having insufficient internet access. To guarantee continuous digital company operations, broadband service expansion, reasonably priced data plans, and dependable network coverage in rural regions are crucial.

4. Campaigns to raise awareness of the benefits of online marketplaces should be conducted, as just 18% of MSMEs are registered on e-commerce platforms. Training in digital branding, packaging, logistics planning, and online customer assistance may enhance commercialization and market integration.

5. Since MSMEs supported by grassroots ecosystems have 35% higher adoption rates, it is highly recommended that innovation centers, self-help organizations, NGOs, and government agencies work together more closely. Creating integrated ecosystem networks might provide rural company owners with technical guidance, mentorship, and continuous support.

References

1. *Hendrawan, S. A., Chatra, A., Iman, N., Hidayatullah, S., & Suprayitno, D. (2024). Digital transformation in MSMEs: Challenges and opportunities in technology management. Jurnal Informasi dan Teknologi, 6(2), 141–149. https://doi.org/10.60083/jidt.v6i2.551*
2. *Amalia, R., Aini, R. Q., Paradita, J., & Mirza, A. D. (2025). Bridging the digital divide: The role of technology in enhancing rural SMEs in Indonesia. Jurnal Ilmu Manajemen dan Bisnis, 16(1). https://doi.org/10.17509/jimb.v16i1.82681*
3. *Bright, R., & Rani, L. (2025). Micro small and medium enterprises: Driving growth through digital transformation. International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET), 13(1). https://doi.org/10.22214/ijraset.2025.66282*
4. *Khandelwal, R., & Priya, A. (2024). Digital transformation to spur women empowerment in MSMEs: A case study approach. ShodhKosh: Journal of Visual and Performing Arts, 5(1), 1735–1744. https://doi.org/10.29121/shodhkosh.v5.i1.2024.1605*
5. *Alyani, I., Saptono, I. T., & Jahroh, S. (2024). Digital transformation drivers for Indonesian MSMEs. Indonesian Interdisciplinary Journal of Sharia Economics (IIJSE), 7(1), 423–441.*
6. *Sharma, P. K. (2025). Digital transformation of MSMEs in India: Challenges and opportunities. In International Conference – 2025: Developed India @ 2047: Charting multidisciplinary and multi-institutional pathways for inclusive growth and global leadership (pp. 156). IQAC, Gossner College, Ranchi. https://ijamsr.com/specialissues.php*
7. *Yuwono, T., Suroso, A., & Novandari, W. (2024). Information and communication technology in SMEs: A systematic literature review. Journal of Innovation and Entrepreneurship, 13, 31. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13731-024-00392-6*
8. *Baena Navarro, R., Carriazo Regino, Y., & Parodi Camaño, T. (2025). Innovation and digital transformation in rural ecosystems. In U. A. Osorio Atehortúa & M. E. Aristizábal Velásquez (Eds.), RuralTech: AI-driven innovation for transforming rural entrepreneurship: Harnessing emerging and sustainable technologies for rural communities and agri-entrepreneurship [Capitulo Libro, Universidad Cooperativa de Colombia]. Repositorio Institucional, Universidad Cooperativa de Colombia.*
9. *Hairunisya, N., Arifin, S., & Zubair, A. (2025). Exploring digital innovation capabilities in MSMEs agrosociopreneurship: An entrepreneurial ecosystem perspective. International Journal of Economic Literature (INJOLE), 3(2), 359–378.*
10. *Jone, I. A., & Dhanalakshmi, C. (2024). MSME growth is being propelled by India's digital transformation. ShodhKosh: Journal of Visual and Performing Arts, 5(4), 394–404. https://doi.org/10.29121/shodhkosh.v5.i4.2024.1864*
11. *Parra-Sanchez, D. T., & Talero-Sarmiento, L. H. (2023). Digital transformation in small and medium enterprises: A scientometric analysis. Digital Transformation and Society. https://doi.org/10.1108/DTS-06-2023-0048*
12. *Shuai Gao, Pei-Lee Teh & Helen Hui Ping Ho (2026) Digital transformation and innovation in small and medium enterprises (SMEs): a systematic review and future research agenda, Cogent Business & Management, 13:1, 2612775, DOI: 10.1080/23311975.2026.2612775*
13. *Kargas, A., Drosos, D., Komisopoulos, F., Katsianis, D., Chaniotaki, E., Rokkas, T.,*

Andriopoulos, A., Argiroulis, V., Filios, S., Loumos, G., Kokkinis, D., & Alvertos, K. (2025). A systematic literature review on SMEs digital transformation. Preprints. https://doi.org/10.20944/preprints202510.2077.v1

14. *Baojing, Z., Alias, N., Yaacob, H., Abu Hasan, N., & Sai, C. (2025). Digital transformation in SMEs: A bibliometric analysis. Journal of Information Systems Engineering and Management, 10(18s). https://www.jisem-journal.com/*
15. *Darmadi, D., Faisal, F., Apriliani, A., & Sahid, S. (2025). Digital transformation at the grassroots: Unveiling spill-over effects and adaptation resistance of QRIS in traditional marketplace MSME ecosystems of Palopo City. International Conference on Digital, Social, and Science, 2, 1458.*
16. *Rafiq-uz-Zaman, M., Malik, N., & Bano, S. (2025). Learning to innovate: WhatsApp groups as grassroots innovation ecosystems among micro-entrepreneurs in emerging markets. Journal of Asian Development Studies, 14(1). https://doi.org/10.62345/jads.2025.14.1.147*
17. *Gautam Sharma, Hemant Kumar, (2019) "Commercialising innovations from the informal economy:The grassroots innovation ecosystem in India", South Asian Journal of Business Studies, https://doi.org/10.1108/SAJBS-12-2017-0142*
18. *Jakka, S. R. (2025). Grassroots innovation and rural entrepreneurship in India: A pathway to inclusive and sustainable growth. International Journal of Advances in Business and Management Research (IJABMR), 3(Supplement 2), [page numbers]. https://doi.org/10.62674/ijabmr.2025.v3isupp2.006*