TRANSFORMING ARCHAEOLOGICAL HISTORY IN HIGHER EDUCATION AND RESEARCH IN INDIA THROUGH ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE

Dr. Vishal A. Daulatkar
Head, Department of History, Arts, Commerce College Ralegaon Dist.Yavatmal
vishaladaulatkar@gmail.com

Abstract
When studying Indian history, particularly ancient India—the study of archaeological history becomes especially important. At present, traditional methods of teaching and researching archaeological history face several limitations. For example, linguistic barriers, limited availability of information, complex excavation processes, and physical constraints often hinder progress. In this context, Artificial Intelligence (AI) has the potential to bring about revolutionary changes in the study and research of archaeology. This research paper explores the various applications and possibilities of AI in this field. These include creating models of historical sites through Virtual Reality (VR) and Augmented Reality (AR), classifying archaeological artifacts using machine learning and image analysis, and employing Natural Language Processing (NLP) technologies to decipher ancient scripts. In higher education, such technologies not only enrich and personalize the learning experience but also provide researchers with powerful tools to analyse archaeological and historical data. The use of these technologies makes the teaching and learning of archaeological history in higher education more effective and enhances the accuracy of research. Particularly for students in rural and regional areas, AI fosters inclusivity and proves to be highly beneficial. Several related studies have briefly analysed the practical applications of AI in the Indian context. Ultimately, this paper reviews how AI can transform the processes of teaching and research in Indian archaeological history, while also examining the challenges faced by AI technologies and the possibilities they hold for the future.

Keywords: *Archaeological History; Artificial Intelligence (AI); Virtual Reality (VR); Augmented Reality (AR); Machine Learning; Deep Learning; Natural Language Processing (NLP); Big Data Analysis; Digital Heritage; Higher Education*

Introduction

Archaeological history is a branch of historical studies that primarily investigates past human events through material remains, interprets them, and reconstructs the history of that period. This involves the use of archaeological methods such as excavation, surveys, artifact analysis, dating techniques, and architectural examination to uncover facts about the social, economic, political, religious, and technological developments of the time, which then form the basis of historical writing.

Archaeological history is written mainly on the basis of physical evidence. Such evidence includes architecture, pottery, metal objects, coins, tools used by humans in prehistoric and historic times, bio-archaeological remains, inscriptions, copper plates, and environmental change data. These sources are used to verify the authenticity of written records of the past and serve as independent sources of history.

The written sources of ancient Indian history are often limited in scope and heavily influenced by religious imagination. In such cases, archaeological history helps confirm and supplement written accounts through comparative study. The authenticity of written sources can be tested against material evidence. For example, the history of the Harappan civilization could only be reconstructed through archaeological methods.

Archaeological history is also crucial for understanding ancient Indian art and culture, the history of non-elite groups, environmental changes, and their impact on social life. It plays a vital role in rewriting and reinterpreting history.

This research seeks to briefly analyse the limitations and shortcomings of traditional methods of teaching and researching archaeological history in higher education, and to examine how Artificial Intelligence tools such as Machine Learning, Natural Language Processing, Image Recognition, Virtual Reality, and Augmented Reality can be applied to the study and research of archaeological history in higher education.

It also aims to explore the role of AI technologies in creating personalized, multilingual, and experiential learning opportunities for multilingual and multicultural students engaged in higher education and research in archaeological history. Furthermore, the study identifies the challenges, technical difficulties, and limitations involved in applying AI technologies to the study and research of archaeology.

The purpose of this research is not to replace traditional methods of archaeological history, but to complement and enhance them through the use of technologies like Artificial Intelligence. The scope

of this study lies in analysing how digital tools such as AI can be effectively employed in the study and research of Indian archaeological history.

Explanation

In the process of teaching and researching archaeological history, Virtual Reality (VR) and Augmented Reality (AR) can be used to analyse ancient archaeological sites in new ways.

VR creates fully immersive, three-dimensional environments that allow students and researchers to experience archaeological sites as though they were physically present. This not only enhances engagement but also enables the study of sites that may be geographically distant, inaccessible, or endangered.

Global Example: *Ancient Rome Reconstruction (Italy)* – The "Rome Reborn" project digitally reconstructed the city of Rome as it appeared in 320 CE. Through VR headsets, users can virtually walk through the Forum, temples, and residential areas, gaining insights into urban planning and daily life (Frischer, 2008).

Indian Examples: *Ajanta and Ellora Caves (Maharashtra):* VR-based reconstructions have been used to digitally preserve and present the intricate Buddhist, Hindu, and Jain cave temples. These immersive models allow learners to study the architecture and paintings without risking damage to the fragile originals (Patel, 2019).

Hampi (Karnataka): UNESCO and Indian researchers have collaborated on VR projects to recreate the Vijayanagara capital, enabling virtual tours of temples, bazaars, and palaces that highlight the grandeur of medieval South Indian architecture (Menon, 2020).

AR, on the other hand, overlays digital reconstructions onto actual archaeological remains or museum objects, enabling damaged or incomplete artifacts to be virtually restored with the help of Artificial Intelligence (AI).

Global Examples: *British Museum (UK):* AR applications allow visitors to view ancient Egyptian mummies with digital overlays that reveal internal structures without disturbing the physical remains (British Museum, 2017).

Pompeii (Italy): AR tools have been used to superimpose reconstructions of collapsed buildings and frescoes onto the ruins, helping visitors and researchers visualize the city before the eruption of Mount Vesuvius (Di Paola & De Luca, 2019).

Indian Examples: *Indus Valley Civilization Sites (Harappa and Dholavira):* AR applications have been piloted to reconstruct urban layouts, drainage systems, and granaries, offering students a clearer understanding of Harappan urban planning (Shinde, 2016).

National Museum, New Delhi: AR-enabled exhibits allow visitors to interact with 3D reconstructions of artifacts, such as the Dancing Girl of Mohenjo-Daro, providing contextual information and visualizations of how these objects were originally used (Arora, 2021).

The use of VR and AR in archaeology not only enriches classroom teaching but also democratizes access to heritage. Students in rural or resource-limited institutions can virtually visit world heritage sites, while researchers can test hypotheses about architectural layouts or artifact functions without relying solely on physical excavation. These technologies also support heritage preservation by creating digital archives of sites threatened by urbanization, climate change, or conflict (Economou & Pujol, 2007).

Despite these benefits, VR and AR applications face challenges such as high development costs, the need for technical expertise, and limited accessibility in underfunded institutions. In India, infrastructural constraints and digital divides remain significant barriers. However, with the increasing affordability of VR headsets and mobile-based AR applications, these technologies are becoming more feasible for integration into higher education and research (Kumar & Singh, 2020).

AI can digitally reconstruct incomplete or damaged artifacts, restoring them to wholeness without compromising their historical context. Such digital preservation ensures that heritage is safeguarded for future research. Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs)—a type of deep learning—can be trained on large image datasets to classify pottery, coins, and inscriptions with high accuracy (Ingold & Huggett, 2025).

Global Examples: *Deep Learning in Archaeological Collections (UK/US):* Neural networks have been applied to classify artifacts and detect cultural connections invisible to the human eye (Ingold & Huggett, 2025).

Cuneiform Tablet Restoration (Iraq): AI-driven 3D scanning and NLP models have been used to reconstruct damaged cuneiform inscriptions, aiding epigraphic research (Laufer et al., 2021).

Indian Examples: *Archaeological Survey of India (ASI):* Pilot projects have applied AI to classify pottery shards and inscriptions, improving efficiency in cataloguing large collections (Shinde, 2016).

Indus Valley Civilization Sites: AI-based reconstructions of Harappan urban layouts and drainage systems have been used in higher education to teach urban planning and cultural interconnections (Kumar & Singh, 2020).

In excavations, AI can virtually reconstruct broken objects, statues, or pottery, and even suggest how

scattered fragments might fit together. This improves both accuracy and efficiency in archaeological research.

Traditional artifact analysis is time-consuming and often subjective. AI, especially machine learning and deep learning, can process large datasets of inscriptions, images, objects, shapes, and scripts, enabling automated classification. For example, *Machine Learning in Archaeology (2025)* showed how large datasets improved the speed and accuracy of artifact classification and site analysis. AI can also detect microscopic patterns, chemical compositions, and carbon-dating results invisible to the human eye.

In education and research, AI tools can rapidly analyse thousands of potsherds, coins, and inscriptions, producing comparative studies and evaluations—for example, comparative analysis of Harappan and Mesopotamian cultures, or identifying rulers and mints from worn coins. This reduces human error and strengthens conclusions.

AI also helps verify written historical accounts against archaeological evidence, ensuring objectivity. For instance, the *Ram Setu* mentioned in the *Ramayana* can be studied alongside archaeological remains using AI-based analysis (Rao, 2018).

AI supports epigraphy by predicting missing letters or words in incomplete inscriptions. Optical Character Recognition (OCR) can transcribe printed historical documents into machine-readable text, aiding future research. Advanced AI models trained on ancient scripts can even reconstruct damaged inscriptions, copper plates, or manuscripts—for example, projects that automatically restore 3D-scanned cuneiform tablets. In India, AI-based OCR has been tested to transcribe medieval copper plates, aiding epigraphic research in universities (Arora, 2021).

AI also aids in identifying cultural links between groups by classifying tools such as scrapers, blades, and arrowheads. Large museum collections can be digitized and classified, making them accessible to students. The Archaeological Survey of India has already experimented with AI-based classification of pottery and inscriptions.

In archaeological surveys, AI can analyse complex environmental datasets, predict potential site locations, and generate excavation maps. Satellite imagery and LiDAR can reveal ancient roads, fields, and settlements, while GIS provides elevation models, soil types, and water sources. Known sites serve as training data for AI models, which can then predict new ones. For example, LiDAR combined with AI revealed thousands of hidden Maya structures in Guatemala, revolutionizing understanding of settlement patterns (Canuto et al., 2018).

Natural Language Processing (NLP) models, such as Named Entity Recognition (NER), can scan thousands of texts to identify names of people, places, deities, and institutions, helping reconstruct social, religious, economic, and political networks. AI is also being used worldwide to translate ancient languages, predict missing text, and reconstruct undeciphered scripts. By analysing linguistic patterns, AI can suggest missing characters or words in damaged inscriptions.

Large datasets—excavation records, satellite images, inscriptions, trade records, museum catalogues—can be compared using AI. Students can test hypotheses and learn the iterative process of historical research. For example, mapping urban growth and decline in the Indus Valley using excavation data and satellite imagery (Shinde, 2016). *Google's Fabricius Project (Egypt)* has used AI to translate and reconstruct hieroglyphic inscriptions, making them accessible for both researchers and students (Google Arts & Culture, 2020). Similarly, Greek epigraphy projects have applied NLP models to predict missing letters in fragmented inscriptions, enhancing historical accuracy (Terras, 2011).

AI allows researchers to move beyond narrow hypotheses by comparing diverse datasets to uncover broader historical truths—for example, testing multiple factors behind the decline of the Harappan civilization. It also enables interdisciplinary integration of archaeology with statistics, visualization, and GIS. For instance, South Asian GIS projects have used AI to classify settlements and agricultural patterns, providing insights into ancient socio-economic systems (Rajagopalan, 2021).

While AI enhances learning and research, human guidance remains essential. Teachers ensure critical interpretation of sources. Unequal access to digital tools may widen gaps between rural and urban education. Moreover, while AI can classify artifacts, interpreting their cultural and artistic meaning still requires human expertise. Collaboration between historians and computer scientists is crucial, as AI results depend heavily on the quality of data.

Conclusion

In the teaching and research of archaeological history, Virtual Reality (VR) creates a fully immersive environment that allows students and researchers to experience sites as if they were physically present, while Augmented Reality (AR) uses digital reconstructions, supported by Artificial

Intelligence (AI), to recreate archaeological remains based on imagination and evidence.

Through AI, students and researchers can process and manage vast amounts of data for the analysis, classification, and comparative study of archaeological artifacts. This enables them to identify cultural patterns and critically examine past events. AI-based observation tools also help researchers uncover transparent facts, establish connections between sites and time periods, and draw meaningful conclusions.

Optical Character Recognition (OCR) powered by AI assists in scanning and restoring ancient texts, damaged manuscripts, and worn inscriptions. AI can classify all types of archaeological artifacts, digitize and organize large museum collections, and process existing datasets. Tools such as Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs) can generate models of artifacts based on databases, which can then be used for further research and classification.

AI-driven big data analysis and hypothesis testing are transforming the nature of historical research. They allow interaction with large bodies of evidence, foster critical understanding of historical knowledge production, and support interdisciplinary studies in archaeology, teaching, and research.

AI also enables personalized and adaptive learning experiences in higher education. This makes the study of archaeological history more engaging, equitable, and effective. Students can learn at their own pace and in their own style, while still being encouraged to think critically.

However, challenges remain. Incomplete or biased data can lead to flawed conclusions. While AI can reveal relationships between archaeological sources, the interpretation of causation still depends on human judgment. Issues of ownership, access, and authenticity of digital heritage data must also be addressed. To fully harness the potential of big data, historians require training in computational methods—without which they cannot make complete use of these tools.

Despite these challenges, the use of AI in higher education for archaeological history is becoming indispensable. It enhances the effectiveness of teaching and learning, ensures more transparent and comprehensive research, and opens new directions for the study of the past.

References

1. Ahnert, R., Griffin, E., Ridge, M., & Tolfo, G. (2023). *Collaborative historical research in the age of big data*. Cambridge University Press. https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/collaborative-historical-research-in-the-age-of-big-data/839C422CCAA6C1699DE8D353B3A1960D
2. Arora, R. (2021). Augmented reality in Indian museums: Enhancing visitor engagement. *Journal of Heritage Studies, 27*(4), 512–528.
3. Bhagat, S., Meenakshi, R., Bakshi, R., & Aman, A. (2025). Integrating technology in history education: Digital pathways and transformative practices. *International Journal of Science and Advanced Technology (IJSAT).* https://www.ijsat.org/papers/2025/2/4531.pdf
4. British Museum. (2017). *AR and digital innovation in museum displays*. London: British Museum Publications.
5. Dallas, C. (2016). Digital archaeology: Promises and pitfalls. *Journal of Archaeological Method and Theory.* Related discussion at Antiquity Open Books: https://doi.org/10.59641/f48820ir
6. Di Paola, F., & De Luca, L. (2019). Augmented reality for cultural heritage: The case of Pompeii. *Digital Applications in Archaeology and Cultural Heritage, 14,* e00112. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.daach.2019.e00112
7. Economou, M., & Pujol, L. (2007). Educational tool or expensive toy? Evaluating VR evaluation and its relevance for virtual heritage. *Virtual Reality, 11*(4), 261–279. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10055-007-0076-4
8. Forte, M. (2014). 3D archaeology: New perspectives and challenges—The example of Çatalhöyük. *Journal of Archaeological Method and Theory, 21*(2), 251–276. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10816-013-9187-2
9. Frischer, B. (2008). The Rome Reborn project. *Journal of Roman Archaeology, 21,* 237–244. https://doi.org/10.1017/S1047759400004719
10. HogoNext Editorial Team. (2024). How to enhance history education with adaptive methods. *HogoNext.* https://hogonext.com/how-to-enhance-history-education-with-adaptive-methods/
11. Kaich, O., El Fakir, Z., Benlahmar, E. H., El Filali, S., & Zahour, O. (2025). Digital reconstruction of historical scenes for history teaching. *Engineering Proceedings, 112*(1), 24. https://doi.org/10.3390/engproc2025112024
12. Kumar, A., & Singh, P. (2020). Digital heritage and the future of archaeology in India. *Indian Journal of Archaeology, 5*(2), 45–59.
13. Menon, S. (2020). Virtual reconstruction of Hampi: A UNESCO initiative. *South Asian Studies, 36*(1), 89–104. https://doi.org/10.1080/02666030.2020.1715347
14. Patel, D. (2019). Preserving Ajanta and Ellora through digital heritage technologies. *Heritage*

and Society, 12(3), 201–218. https://doi.org/10.1080/2159032X.2019.1678832

15. Shinde, V. (2016). Digital archaeology and the Indus Valley Civilization: New approaches. *Man and Environment, 41*(2), 1–12.
16. Sivasankari, M. (2025). Machine learning in archaeology for artefact classification and site analysis. *International Journal of Computer Science and Information Technology (IJCSIT), 1*(1), 34–39. https://espjournals.org/IJCSIT/2025/Volume1-Issue1/IJCSIT-V1I1P106.pdf
17. Zeer, M., & Al-Qawasmi, K. (2025). Deep learning in the analysis of archaeological collections. In *Intelligence-driven circular economy* (pp. 271–279). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-74220-0_20
18. Zhao, Y., Li, Y., Dai, T., Sedini, C., Wu, X., Jiang, W., Li, J., Zhu, K., Zhai, B., Li, M., & LC, R. (2025). Virtual reality in heritage education for enhanced learning experience: A mini-review and design considerations. *Frontiers in Virtual Reality, 6,* 1560594. https://doi.org/10.3389/frvir.2025.1560594