

## STRATEGIC AGILITY IN ACADEMIC LIBRARIES: RESPONDING TO EMERGING TRENDS IN HIGHER EDUCATION AND RESEARCH

**Dr. Rajesh G. Bobade**

*Librarian, Dr. Shyamaprasad Mukherjee Arts College, Shendurjanaghat, At. Shendurjanaghat, Tq. Warud, Dist. Amravati, Maharashtra  
dspmclib@gmail.com*

### Abstract

*Academic libraries face accelerating change: shifting research practices, funder mandates for open data, pedagogical moves toward active and hybrid learning, and rapid technological advances (AI, cloud computing, digital scholarship platforms). To remain central to institutional missions, libraries must develop strategic agility—the capacity to sense change, rapidly seize opportunities, and reconfigures resources and services. This article defines strategic agility for academic libraries, identifies primary drivers of the need for agility, examines operational practices (governance, staffing, partnerships, and infrastructure) that enable nimble responses, and reviews concrete service areas research data management (RDM), makerspaces/digital scholarship, and space/skill redesign where agility has been consequential. We summarize common barriers (funding instability, skills gap, and measurement difficulties) and offer practical recommendations (scenario planning, modular funding, competency pathways, and mixed-methods assessment) to embed agility into library strategy. The article draws on recent trend analyses and empirical literature to argue that strategic agility is not boutique leadership rhetoric but a practical capability that increases institutional resilience and scholarly impact.*

**Keywords:** Strategic agility, Operational Practices, Agile Applications

### 1. Introduction

Higher education is more volatile today than a generation ago. Research workflows now produce large, complex datasets; funders mandate data management and open access; teaching mixes in-person, hybrid, and experiential modalities; and new technologies (including AI) reshape scholarly practices. Academic libraries—longtime stewards of knowledge and enablers of discovery—are being asked to move beyond transactional services toward strategic partnership: providing research infrastructure, pedagogical collaboration, and innovation spaces (e.g., makerspaces, digital scholarship centers). Doing this effectively requires strategic agility: the ability to detect signals in the environment, experiment quickly, and reallocate resources to capture emerging value (Christofi et al., 2024).

This paper develops a practical conception of strategic agility for academic libraries, identifies enabling practices and typical pitfalls, and offers recommendations that library leaders can implement to align services with evolving institutional priorities. Where appropriate we use recent evidence—trend surveys and applied research—to ground the claims.

### 2. Defining Strategic Agility for Academic Libraries:

Strategic agility is a dynamic capability built of three linked abilities;

1. Sensing — scanning the internal and external environment to identify nascent needs,

technological options, policy shifts, and pedagogical innovations.

2. Seizing — rapidly prototyping and deploying services or resources that address identified needs (for example, launching a pilot RDM consultation program or a weekend makerspace clinic).
3. Reconfiguring — reallocating personnel, budget, space, or partnerships to scale successful pilots and sunset low-value activities.

In the library context, agility differs from simple responsiveness: it emphasizes foresight, small-step experimentation, and the ability to recompose capabilities (people + technology + space + governance) rather than only delivering incremental improvements. Strategic agility requires a portfolio mindset—balancing incremental service maintenance with exploratory investments.

### 3. Why Agility Matters Now: Key Drivers:

Several converging trends make agility essential;

- 3.1 Policy and funder expectations. Funders increasingly require data management plans and open access commitments, creating new researcher support needs that libraries are well positioned to meet—but only if they can rapidly scale services. Research on RDM shows the expanding scope and urgency of library involvement in this arena.
- 3.2 Technological acceleration. AI tools, enhanced computational services, and cloud platforms create both opportunities (automation of metadata, semantic discovery) and governance

challenges (bias, provenance). Libraries must evaluate and integrate these capabilities quickly while managing ethical implications.

**3.3 Pedagogical change.** Active learning, cross-disciplinary project courses, and digital credentialing push demand for experiential spaces and embedded information literacy—functions that often require rapid, course-aligned librarian involvement.

**3.4 Institutional and societal expectations for innovation.** Universities increasingly seek measurable societal impact, entrepreneurship, and industry partnerships. Libraries that host makerspaces, data services, or incubator affiliations can contribute to these goals—but must do so in alignment with broader institutional strategies.

#### **4. Operational Practices That Produce Agility:**

Agility is realized via specific organizational practices. Below are practical elements libraries can adopt.

**4.1 Systematic horizon scanning and stakeholder sensing-** Formalize quarterly environmental scans and rapid stakeholder interviews (research offices, faculty, IT, students). Use analytics (usage data, inquiry trends) to spot emerging demand before it becomes a crisis. Organizations that institutionalize sensing generate higher-quality, earlier signals for action.

**4.2 Rapid prototyping with safe-to-fail pilots-** Run time-boxed pilots (6–12 months) with lightweight evaluation criteria. For instance, pilot an RDM consultation service targeted at one faculty cluster or open a pop-up makerspace for a single semester to test demand and workflows. Small pilots reduce risk and create learning quickly. Case studies in library innovation repeatedly show pilots as effective launch strategies.

**4.3 Modular funding and cost-recovery options-** Seek a mix of baseline institutional support, grant seed funding, and fee-for-service arrangements (e.g., specialized digitization or commercial partnerships). Modular funding makes it easier to scale successful pilots and to sunset unsustainable ones with minimal disruption. Trend analyses stress the fragility of programs launched on time-limited grants and recommend blended funding for sustainability.

**4.4 Cross-functional teams and embedded roles-** Form cross-unit squads (library + IT + research office + academic departments) to run initiatives. Create embedded librarian roles in departments and project teams so librarians gain domain knowledge and can co-design

services. Such embedded models improve uptake and relevance.

**4.5 Invest in competency pathways and continuous learning-** Build clear career pathways for digital scholarship, data curation, makerspace facilitation, and analytics. Libraries should partner with consortia or campus units to scale training and make skill development a funded strategic priority. Recent competency frameworks and professional reports highlight this as essential for long-term program stability.

#### **5. Agile Applications: Three High-Impact Service Areas:**

##### **5.1 Research Data Management (RDM)**

RDM is a prime example where sensing, prototyping, and reconfiguring pay off. Libraries that quickly create consultative RDM services, deposit workflows, and training modules help researchers meet funder requirements and increase research visibility. Successful RDM programs began as small, targeted pilots (e.g., data management plan clinics) and were scaled through partnerships with research offices and IT. Evidence shows that well-run RDM support leads to higher rates of data sharing and compliance.

##### **5.2 Makerspaces and Digital Scholarship Labs**

Makerspaces and digital scholarship centers illustrate agility when libraries use pilot programming, modular equipment purchases, and partnerships with engineering or design departments. Systematic reviews find positive short-term impacts on student creativity and applied skills; the key to sustained impact is aligning makerspace offerings to curricular needs and building pathways for faculty collaboration.

##### **5.3 Space and Service Reconfiguration for Hybrid Learning**

Pandemic-era shifts accelerated demand for hybrid-ready classrooms, AV capture, and collaborative study spaces. Agile libraries now maintain an adaptable space portfolio—quiet research zones, collaborative project hubs, and production labs—that can be reallocated quickly according to semester needs. Institutional examples demonstrate the value of flexible zoning and embedded infrastructure (power, AV, booking systems).

#### **6. Barriers and Risks:**

Despite clear benefits, agility faces constraints:

**1. Funding instability-** Reliance on short-term grants creates stop-start programs. Without sustainable baseline funding, agile pilots may remain ephemeral.

2. **Skills gaps-** Staff may lack technical or pedagogical competencies; training takes time and resources.
3. **Assessment challenges-** Libraries struggle to translate usage and attendance into meaningful institutional impact metrics. Robust mixed-methods evaluation is necessary but resource-intensive.
4. **Governance friction-** Cross-unit operations require clear agreements and rapid decision processes; bureaucratic inertia can kill momentum.

## 7. Recommendations for Embedding Strategic Agility

1. Make sensing routine. Commit to scheduled environmental scans and demand-signal dashboards shared with leadership.
2. Adopt a pilot-to-scale pipeline. Define clear criteria for moving pilots into sustained services (demand thresholds, ROI, alignment with strategic goals).
3. Secure blended funding. Combine baseline allocations, grant seed funding, and fee recovery where appropriate to stabilize successful services.
4. Create competency ladders. Fund training, hire strategically for hybrid roles, and formalize career progression for digital scholarship and RDM staff.
5. Measure for meaning. Use mixed methods (analytics + qualitative case studies) that link library activity to researcher outcomes, curricular change, or compliance improvements.
6. Reduce governance friction. Negotiate pre-approved collaboration agreements and decision thresholds with IT and research offices so cross-functional squads can act quickly.

## 8. Conclusion:

Strategic agility is a practicable and necessary capability for academic libraries. It reframes library work from reactive service delivery to proactive institutional partnership—an approach that is increasingly demanded by funders, faculty, and students. By institutionalizing sensing practices, embracing safe-to-fail pilots, building modular funding models, investing in staff competencies,

and using mixed-methods assessment, libraries can convert short-term innovation into sustained scholarly value. Agility does not mean constant change for its own sake; it means designing institutions that can adapt deliberately, ethically, and effectively to the evolving landscape of higher education and research. Recent trend analyses and empirical studies underscore that libraries that adopt these practices will be better positioned to support research excellence, pedagogical innovation, and community impact.

## References

1. Christofi, K., Chourides, P., & Papageorgiou, G. (2023). Cultivating strategic agility – An empirical investigation into best practice. *Global Business & Organizational Excellence*, 43(3). <https://doi.org/10.1002/joe.22241>
2. Kim, S. H., Jung, Y. J., & Choi, G. W. (2022). A systematic review of library makerspaces research. *Library & Information Science Research*, 44(4), 101202. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lisr.2022.101202>
3. Lopez, E., Bass, M. B., & Danquah, L. E. (2023). Trends in research data management and academic health sciences libraries. *Medical Reference Services Quarterly*, 42(3), 273–293. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02763869.2023.2218776>
4. Otike, F., & Kiszl, P. (2024). Exploring transformation in an entrepreneurial academic library. *Portal: Libraries and the Academy*, 24(2), 235–250. <https://doi.org/10.1353/pla.2024.a923705>
5. Otike, F., Hajdu Barát, Á., & Kiszl, P. (2022). Innovation strategies in academic libraries using business entrepreneurial theories: Analysis of competing values framework and disruptive innovation theory. *Journal of Academic Librarianship*, 48(4), Article 102537. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.acalib.2022.102537>
6. Research Planning and Review Committee, Association of College & Research Libraries. (2024). 2024 top trends in academic libraries: A review of the trends and issues. *College & Research Libraries News*, 85(6), 231–246. <https://doi.org/10.5860/crln.85.6.231>