INTEGRATING INDIGENOUS KNOWLEDGE SYSTEMS INTO MODERN CYBER LAWS IN INDIA: ENHANCING DATA SOVEREIGNTY, AI ETHICS, BLOCKCHAIN GOVERNANCE & DIGITAL PRIVACY

Dr. Prabhanjan Gunwantrao Chaudhari

Head of the Department, MCA, Saraswati College, Shegaon prabhanjan1111@gmail.com

Abstract

This research paper examines the intersection of cyber law, technology and Indian knowledge systems (IKS). We examine how old Indian wisdom rooted in ethics, government and social harmony affects the modern legal framework conditions of cyberspace. Through an analysis of Indian cyber law (e.g. The IT Act, 2000), in addition to traditional concepts such as dharma (moral obligation) and nyaya (justice), this paper addresses an approach to digital government to a culturally rooted holistic approach. Case studies on data protection, intellectual property and cybercrime are contextualized in the spirit of Indian civilization to propose reforms that are consistent with the knowledge tradition.

Keywords: Cyber law, Indian Knowledge System, Data sovereignty, AI ethics, Blockchain governance, Digital privacy.

1. Introduction

1.1 Background

i. India's Cyber Law: Information Technology Law (2000) and its changes form the backbone of India's digital legal framework dealing with cybercrime, data protection and e-government (Government of India, 2000).

ii. Indian Knowledge System (IK): A storage for ancient Indian scientific, philosophical and ethical traditions.

1.2 Research Goals

Analysis of how Indian Indigenous Knowledge Systems (IK) enrich modern cyber law requires examining how traditional values, practices and philosophy can influence and guide the creation of modern legal framework conditions, especially in complex and developing regions such as Artificial Intelligence (AI), Blockchain, data sovereignty and digital privacy. Indian Indigenous Knowledge Systems can enrich modern cyber law by promoting data sovereignty, ethical AI, blockchain governance, digital privacy and protection for data rooted in general property and cultural values (Shiva, 2001).

1.3 Primary Study

i. The concept of databases and sovereignty in Indigenous Knowledge Systems

Indigenous communities in India have long understood the importance of land, resources and knowledge as forms of cultural and collective property. The concept of "data sovereignty" in the idea that data should be regulated by the laws and regulations of the country or community in which it was born.

For example, in many tribal communities, knowledge is passed verbally and exists in a normal room instead of being privatized or monetized. This may provide a unique perspective on how modern

cyber law considers it a more shared asset than purely individuals or businesses (Baxi, 2008). By translating this local government approach into data management, regulations can be provided to ensure that data from people, particularly marginalized communities, are not used or extracted without consent (Shiva, 2001).

ii. The role of AI in technology ethics and the knowledge of indigenous peoples

Including indigenous peoples knowledge helps us to think about the ethical use of technology, including AI, in a way that respects human dignity and cultural values. Many traditional Indian knowledge systems, such as Ayurveda, Vedanta and even the wisdom of the people, emphasize holistic, ethical and sustainable practices. These systems balance technological advancements with social well-being, and this balance can be extended to modern technologies such as AI (Narayanan, 2023). For example, the ethical meaning of AI can be influenced by concepts such as dharma (moral obligation) and ahimsa (non-violence). Both are deeply embedded in Indian culture. In AI development, these values can lead to debates about the potential risks of equity, justice, and human autonomy (Narayanan, 2023). Comprehensive (Ministry of Electronics and Information Technology, 2022; Mashelkar, 2021).

iii. Blockchain and Local Knowledge Protection
Blockchain technology with a focus on
decentralized nature and transparency can align
with Indigenous practices and decentralized
community structures of collective governance.
Blockchain can be used to maintain and protect
Indigenous knowledge and ensure it is recorded,
certified and divided without exploitation by
outsiders. For example, traditional knowledge and
cultural expressions are often susceptible to

Inter-Disciplinary National Conference on Indian Knowledge System (IKS) "Bridging Tradition with Modernity" IKS-BTM 2025 Date: 5th April 2025

embezzlement. Blockchain technology may provide municipalities with a means to authenticate cultural assets and ensure that they are used according to the values and wishes of the community (Scientific and Industry Research Council, n.d.). Bhattcharya, 2020).

iv. Indigenous Principles of Data Protection and Data Protection

Indigenous culture in India often has deep roots in terms of personal privacy and restrictions. These principles are not codified in the same way as modern data protection laws, but are reflected in traditional practices in terms of family, community and information exchange. Modern cyber laws can be inspired by these cultural practices when designing data protection regulations. For example, the practice of maintaining privacy in community communities may inspire India's more robust data protection laws that personal data, especially in times of mass surveillance and social media, are protected from unauthorized access, abuse and manipulation (Supreme Court, 2017). Indigenous practices that emphasize consent and discretion. This corresponds to the latest concepts of data consent and data in the context of digital space (Shiva, 2001; Baxi, 2008).

v. The community's Indigenous knowledge systems and the role of collective cybersecurity always emphasized the importance of community resistance. In a digital context, this principle can affect collective cybersecurity efforts. Just as Indigenous peoples have developed community mechanisms to protect against external threats (from nature and other communities), modern cyber laws may include collective cybersecurity models cooperation, that emphasize common responsibility, and community defense. For example,

Ideas for number security within a municipality can be adapted to promote collective responsibility to protect digital infrastructure. It can be used to stimulate new legal framework conditions that promote joint cybersecurity efforts among private companies, government agencies and citizens (Bhattacharya, 2020; Ministry for Interion Ministry, 2013). play an active role in securing digital space (Niti Aayog, 2018; Mashelkar, 2021).

vi. Compensation of modern technology with ecological and cultural sustainability

Indian traditional knowledge systems often emphasize the importance of establishing technological advancements with ecological sustainability. If they enrich these values, modern cyber laws can affect the environmental impact of new technologies, such as the energy consumption of blockchains and AI systems. Indigenous practices, for example, often emphasize respect for nature and the country, which can be translated into the need for sustainable technical guidelines. For example, KI and blockchain systems can be interpreted by taking into account CO2 footprints and long-term environmental sexual sexual sexual gender (Shiva, 2001). (Mashelkar, 2021).

vii. Considerations for the Paper

- a. Primary legal framework for cyber law in India (Government of India, 2000).
- b. Ancient Indian treatise on statecraft, governance, and ethics (Kautilya, circa 300 BCE).
- c. Proposed legislation for data privacy in India (Government of India, 2019).
- d. Latest legislation replacing the PDP Bill 2019 (Government of India, 2023).
- e. Landmark judgment recognizing the right to privacy as fundamental (Supreme Court of India, 2017).
- f. Initiative to protect India's traditional knowledge from biopiracy (CSIR, n.d.).
- g. Policy document integrating ethical AI with Indian values (NITI Aayog, 2018).
- h. International framework for safeguarding indigenous knowledge (WIPO, 2021).
- i. Analysis of Indian jurisprudence and human rights in the digital age (Baxi, 2008).
- j. Critique of biopiracy and exploitation of traditional knowledge (Shiva, 2001).
- k. Blueprint for securing India's cyberspace (Ministry of Home Affairs, 2013).
- 1. Ancient legal text emphasizing dharma and societal ethics (Kautilya, circa 300 BCE).
- m. Connects AI ethics with concepts like karma and dharma (Narayanan, 2023).
- n. Discusses India's digital transformation and governance challenges (Nilekani, 2009).
- o. Global ethical guidelines for AI development and deployment (UNESCO, 2022).
- p. Explores innovation rooted in India's knowledge systems (Mashelkar, 2021).
- q. Examines Western tech dominance vs. India's digital self-reliance (Bhattacharya, 2020).
- r. Ethical principles of duty (karma yoga) and moral governance (Shiva, 2001).
- s. Global perspectives on indigenous data sovereignty (WIPO, 2021).

2. Indian Cyber Law: Modern Framework 2.1 Main Provisions of IT Law, 2000

- Section 43: Penalties for unauthorized computer access.
 - Indian Penal Code, 1860. (2000).
 Information Technology Act, 2000 (IT Act).
- **Section 66**: Criminalizing hacking, identity theft, and cyber fraud.
 - o Satyam v. State of Andhra Pradesh (2011). Indian Cyber Laws & Crime.
- Section 69: State surveillance and decryption powers.
 - Information Technology (Procedure and Safeguards for Interception, Monitoring and Decryption of Information) Rules, 2009.

2.2 Recent Developments

- Personal Data Protection Bill (PDPB), 2019: Inspired by GDPR but tailored to India's socio-cultural context. Sharma, P. (2020).
- **Digital India Initiative**: Promoting e-governance and digital infrastructure. Government of India. (2015).

2.3 Gap in current law

- i. The lack of agreement with traditional ethical principles. Gupta, S. (2018).
- ii. Insufficient protection for traditional knowledge digitization (e.g., Ayurveda, Yoga). Kumar, R. (2019).

3. Indian Knowledge System: Ethical Fundamentals

3.1 Core Principles Related to Cyber Law.

- **Dharma (Righteous Governance)**: Ethical responsibility in digital transactions., Saraswati, A. (2016).
- Arthashastra's Rajya Nīti: Kautilya's statecraft for cybersecurity and public welfare., Kautilya. (2009).
- Vasudhaiva Kutumbakam (World as One Family): Global collaboration in cyber diplomacy, Sharma, M. (2017).

3.2 Traditional systems and digital ethics

- Panchayat Systems: Decentralized governance models for local cybercrime resolution. Jha, P. (2015).
- **Gurukul Pedagogy**: Integrating ethics into tech education. Shukla, V. (2018).

4. Interface between IKS and Cyber Law

4.1 Data privacy and Atmanirbharta (independent)

• Concept of Antahkarana (Inner Conscience): Balancing data collection with individual autonomy. Chaudhary, P. (2017).

• **Vedic Gurudakshina**: Consent as a sacred exchange in data sharing. Singh, R. (2019).

4.2 Intellectual Property Rights (IPR) and Traditional Knowledge

- **Bio-Piracy vs. Jeevan Vidya:** Protecting Ayurvedic formulations (e.g., Turmeric, Neem patents). Vyas, P. (2019).
- **Digital Libraries of Nalanda:** Preserving manuscripts through blockchain. Desai, R. (2020).

4.3 Cybercrime and Danda Nīti (Penal Code)

- Kautilya's Spy Network (Apasarpa): Modern analogs in cyber forensics. Kumar, S. (2021).
- Yoga Sutras on Mental Discipline: Combating cyber addiction and phishing through mindfulness. Sharma, N. (2018).

5: Case Studies.

- 5.1 Aadhaar and Dharma-Based Governance by Verma, A. (2018). The Aadhaar Controversy: Balancing Privacy with State Surveillance in the Light of Dharma. Journal of Constitutional Law.
- 5.2 AI and Nyaya (Justice) by Mehta, A. (2020). Mitigating Algorithmic Bias Using Navya-Nyaya Logic Systems. Indian Journal of Artificial Intelligence and Ethics.
- 5.3 **Traditional Medicine Digitization by** Patel, D. (2021). Legal Frameworks for Ayurveda Apps: Integrating IT Act with WHO Standards. Global Journal of Healthcare Law.

6: Problems and Suggestions.

6.1 Difficulties.

- Digital colonization: Western tech monopolies vs. Swadeshi digital ecosystems. Singh, H. (2020).
- Urban-rural divide: bridging cyber literacy gaps using vanaprastha (community mentorship). Sharma, a. (2019)

6.2 Suggestions.

- IKS-Inspired Cyber Policy: Integrate Dharma principles into the PDPB. Chaudhary, P. (2021).
- Traditional Knowledge Digital Library (TKDL) 2.0: Use AI/ML to catalog and protect IKS. Ravi, M. (2020).
- Ethics in Tech Education: Revive Gurukul models for cybersecurity training. Mishra, S. (2022).

7. Conclusion.

India's cyber legal framework needs to adapt and incorporate its unique cultural and philosophical perspectives, moving away from solely relying on

279

western legal principles. By aligning the IT Act with principles such as Dharma, Nyaya, and Vasudhaiva Kutumbakam, India can pioneer a distinctive model of digital governance that upholds tradition while encouraging innovation. This synthesis will not only enhance cybersecurity but also elevate India as a global authority in ethical technology.

References

- 1.Government of India. (2000). The Information Technology Act, 2000. Ministry of Electronics and Information Technology.
- 2.Kautilya. (circa 300 BCE). Arthashastra (R. P. Kangle, Trans.). Motilal Banarsidass.
- 3.Government of India. (2019). Personal Data Protection Bill, 2019. Ministry of Electronics and Information Technology.
- 4.Government of India. (2023). Digital Personal Data Protection Act, 2023. Ministry of Law and Justice.
- 5.Supreme Court of India. (2017). Justice K.S. Puttaswamy (Retd.) vs Union of India. AIR 2017 SC 4161.
- 6.Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR). (n.d.). Traditional Knowledge Digital Library (TKDL). https://www.tkdl.res.in
- 7.NITI Aayog. (2018). National Strategy for Artificial Intelligence. Government of India.
- 8.World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO). (2021). Protecting Traditional Knowledge: A Global Perspective. https://www.wipo.int

- 9.Baxi, U. (2008). The Future of Human Rights. Oxford University Press.
- 10.Shiva, V. (2001). Protect or Plunder? Understanding Intellectual Property Rights. Zed Books.
- 11. Ministry of Home Affairs. (2013). National Cyber Security Policy. Government of India.
- 12.Doniger, W. (Trans.). (1991). The Laws of Manu. Penguin Classics.
- 13.Narayanan, A. (2023). Ethical AI: Lessons from Indian Philosophy. Journal of AI Ethics, 12(3), 45–60. https://doi.org/10.xxxx
- 14.Nilekani, N. (2009). Imagining India: Ideas for the New Century. Penguin Books.
- 15.UNESCO. (2022). Recommendation on the Ethics of Artificial Intelligence. United Nations. https://unesco.org
- 16.Mashelkar, R. A. (2021). Inclusive Innovation: India's Grand Challenge. HarperCollins.
- 17.Bhattacharya, S. (2020). Digital Colonialism and India's Cyber Sovereignty. Economic & Political Weekly, 55(18), 27–33.
- 18.Ministry of Electronics and Information Technology (MeitY). (2022). India's Approach to Blockchain and Web 3.0. Government of India.
- 19. Chakrabarty, D. (2000). Provincializing Europe: Postcolonial Thought and Historical Difference. Princeton University Press.
- 20.Easwaran, E. (Trans.). (2007). The Bhagavad Gita. Nilgiri Press.